From: Kathryn Saunders

**Sent:** Mon, 24 Aug 2020 15:02:52 +1000

To: svc\_t1connectp

**Subject:** Incoming TfNSW Response to Additional Information - DA20/0148 - Penrith City

Council

Attachments: ptc. Response to TfNSW RFI - 29 06 20.pdf, 20200824 - TfNSW Response

SYD20\_00453\_03.pdf

#### #ECMBODY

From: Laura Van putten <Laura.VAN.PUTTEN@transport.nsw.gov.au>

Sent: Monday, 24 August 2020 2:22 PM

**To:** Kathryn Saunders <a href="mailto:kathryn.saunders@penrith.city">kathryn Saunders@penrith.city</a></a>
<a href="mailto:kathryn.saunders@penrith.city">Cc: Pahee Rathan <Pahee.RATHAN@transport.nsw.gov.au</a>

Subject: FW: Applicant response to requested TfNSW Information - DA20/0148 - Penrith City Council

**EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email was received from outside the organisation. Use caution when clicking any links or opening attachments.

#### Hi Kathy

Please find attached TfNSW response to the subject modelling response provided by PTC.

Any questions please let me know.

#### Kind regards,

Laura van Putten

Land Use Planner
Planning and Programs
Greater Sydney
Transport for NSW

**T** 02 8849 2480 | **M** 0429 505 961 Level 5 27 Argyle Street Parramatta NSW 2150



Use public transport... plan your trip at transportnsw.info

I acknowledge the traditional owners and custodians of the land in which I work and pay my respects to Elders past, present and future.

From: Kathryn Saunders [mailto:kathryn.saunders@penrith.city]

Sent: Monday, 13 July 2020 10:17 AM

To: development < development@transport.nsw.gov.au >

Cc: Laura Van putten <Laura.VAN.PUTTEN@transport.nsw.gov.au>

Subject: Applicant response to requested TfNSW Information - DA20/0148 - Penrith City Council

To Whom it may concern,

Please see attached applicant response to the requested further information, including Sidra files.

I have also attached a copy of the internal referral response from Council's Traffic Engineer and the original TfNSW RFI. If deemed necessary, Council may undertake its own warrant assessment and traffic analysis for the precinct/development proposal.

Please be aware there are submissions to the DA, please let me know if/how you would like a copy.

Happy to discuss or arrange for a meeting if required. Thank you.

Kind regards,

#### **Kathryn Saunders**

**Senior Development Assessment Planner** 

E kathryn.saunders@penrith.city T +612 4732 8567 PO Box 60, PENRITH NSW 2751 www.visitpenrith.com.au www.penrithcity.nsw.gov.au

Good Morning Kathy,

I hope you are well.

Further to the email below, please find attached a response to the matters raised by TfNSW from their consideration of the proposed development. Please let me know if you require anything further to forward this onto TfNSW.

All the best, Ashleigh

#### **ASHLEIGH RYAN**

ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR

M +61 402 344 023 E aryan@urbis.com.au

# SHAPING CITIES AND COMMUNITIES











ANGEL PLACE, LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET SYDNEY, NSW 2000, AUSTRALIA

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work. Learn more about our Reconciliation Action Plan.

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

From: Kathryn Saunders < kathryn.saunders@penrith.city>

Sent: Monday, 1 June 2020 9:05 AM

To: Ashleigh Ryan <aryan@urbis.com.au>

Subject: DA20/0148 - TfNSW Request for Further Information

Good morning Ashleigh,

Please see the attached correspondence from TfNSW with regard to DA20/0148 for your information. If you will be submitting additional information for the consideration of TfNSW in response to the attached, please ensure that this is submitted to Penrith Council initially, so that I may forward on as a formal response.

Regards,

#### **Kathryn Saunders**

**Senior Development Assessment Planner** 

E kathryn.saunders@penrith.city T +612 4732 8567 PO Box 60, PENRITH NSW 2751 www.visitpenrith.com.au www.penrithcity.nsw.gov.au



#### Before printing, please consider the environment

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This email and any attachment to it are intended only to be read or used by the named addressee. It is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistaken transmission to you. Roads and Maritime Services is not responsible for any unauthorised alterations to this email or attachment to it. Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of Roads and Maritime Services. If you receive this email in error,



29 June 2020

ptc.

Bernardo Reiter Landa Toga Level 5, 45 Jones Street Ultimo NSW 2007

Dear Bernardo

# DA20/0148 – Response to TfNSW RFI dated 27 May 2020 (Ref. SYD20/00453/01)

This letter has been prepared to present our response to the comments / queries raised by TfNSW relating to the traffic assessment and modelling associated with the subject Development Application.

It should be noted that the modelling accompanies a Development Application, which represents an amendment to the approved development scheme and that the same model formed the basis of the approved development, which was endorsed by Council and RMS.

The modelling was prepared to satisfy the requirements of Council through the preparation of the original development application. In this regard, while we have included the requested changes within the modelling, it is important to highlight that this application is to increase the number of dwellings within the approved development and therefore the fundamental parts of the modelling have been retained as per the previously approved model.

The following section provides a summary of our findings following the incorporation of the TfNSW changes to the model.

## 2. Executive Summary

In summary, in response to the comments provided by TfNSW, we have prepared the following modelling scenarios:

Scenario 1A	2020 Existing AM Peak
Scenario 1B	2020 Existing PM Peak
Scenario 2A	2026 Future Base AM Peak (includes Urban Apts)
Scenario 2B	2026 Future Base PM Peak (includes Urban Apts)
Scenario 3A	2026 Future Base plus Development AM Peak
Scenario 3B	2026 Future Base plus Development PM Peak

The modelling results are summarised in Attachment 1 and indicate that following the changes recommended by TfNSW, the post development 2026 scenario operates within capacity during the morning and evening peak periods. Key capacity constraints are noted at the intersection of High Street and Worth Street, which currently operates at Level of Service E and continues to do so within the 2026 pre and post-development scenarios.

Suite 502, 1 James Place North Sydney NSW 2060 info@ptcconsultants.co t + 61 2 8920 0800 ptcconsultants.co parking; traffic; civil design; wayfinding; DtC.



The post-development scenario indicates slight changes to some of the key indicators (degree of Saturation and Average Delay) however all of the Levels of Service remain unchanged by the development.

A detailed response to each point raised is presented on the following pages.

The electronic SIDRA files have also been provided.

We trust that this information facilitates the completion of the assessment, however, should any clarification be required, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Your faithfully

Andrew Morse

Partner

# 3. Detailed Response

1	<ul> <li>Generally, this section needs more detail as the following information is not clear:</li> <li>Which intersections use data from TfNSW (including RMS) or Council?</li> <li>Whether existing SCATS data from the signalised intersections was obtained from TfNSW to form the basis of the 2020 design year models?</li> <li>Broadly explain how and why Council had to project data to 2020?</li> <li>What are the adopted peak periods?</li> <li>Which movements have been increased by 10% to represent the 2026 design year?</li> </ul>
	Some of these may have been provided in another document, and they need to be included in this report. As these have not been provided, they could not be reviewed.
Response	The following intersections were based on Council / RMS data:
	High Street / Worth Street = Council - Adopted from Council's Town Centre model
	Worth Street / Union Lane = Council
	Worth Street / Union Road = Council
	Mulgoa Road / Union Road = RMS - Adopted from the RMS Jane Street model
	Mulgoa Road / High Street = RMS
	High Street / Civic Centre = ptc. survey (was not included in the Council or RMS model)
	SCATS data was used in the original version of the modelling in 2017.
	To provide some context, when the original model was established in 2017, we were assessing a development with a new road link, a potential major upgrade to Mulgoa Road, signalisation of the High Street roundabout in the context that Council were also developing a Town Centre model to project growth on the network and the need for upgrades.
	In terms of matching the data, it was agreed with Council that we would adopt the data from the Town Centre strategic model, which was being developed at the time of the original DA. In 2017 we agreed that 2020 would form the base year as it was anticipated that parts of the development would be complete and potentially the RMS Jane Street project. 2026 was agreed as the post development year, given that there are further stages of the Toga project on the western site, which could be completed by 2026. The RMS model was already set up for 2026, therefore the Council data was increased to match the RMS data (the growth was applied equally to all movements except those associated with the Westfield car park at Worth Street.
	The peak periods were established as 8:00-9:00am and 4:00-5:00pm.
2.	It is recommended that more detail about existing conditions such as survey counts and survey dates, queue lengths or any other data such as signal timings etc. used for model development and calibration be included in Section 3 or as an appendix of the report.
Response	The difficulty in providing validation based on existing conditions relates to the changes to the road network that are occurring in the vicinity of the site and the fact that the modelling base year was 3 years in the future. The current DA was prepared during COVID-19 restrictions, so updated traffic surveys have not been possible.
	Given that the current DA is an amendment to an approved DA (and accepted modelling assessment) it was not considered necessary to undertake a new model, but to apply the increased traffic projection to the current modelling.
3.	Generally, pre and post development impacts are compared for the same future year, i.e. how does the network operate in 2026 with and without the development. The approach

© Copyright; **ptc.** 3

	taken (page 13) is different, and it is recommended that the report and modelling consider the following scenarios:
	• 2020 – Existing Conditions: Existing Road Network, no development
	• 2026 – Future Base: Future Road Network, growth, no development
	• 2026 – Future Base plus development and Link Road
Response	This is a valid recommendation and these models have now been prepared to demonstrate the difference between the 2026 with/without development scenarios
4.	Figure 11 and 12 are labelled "Existing Road Network, Post Development". The description on page 13 suggests that it should be "Pre-Development".
Response	Noted, these should have read 'pre-development'
5.	The volume of eastbound and westbound traffic along Union Road in the AM and PM peaks changes by at least 100vph between Worth Street and Mulgoa Road. It is not clear if this is a result of traffic generating developments, or a function of the 2020 volume development process. It is recommended that the volumes are reviewed, update if required and an explanation provided.
Response	We note that there is some loss/gain between intersections as a result of using the Council and RMS traffic volumes on the east and west side of the model. We chose not to make manual adjustments in order to maintain the datasets provided by RMS and Council. The example identified shows a decrease in westbound traffic in the morning, but an increase in the afternoon, therefore a simple increase to make up the difference is not appropriate. Some traffic would have been lost / gained by the former use of the site as a car sales yard and an unofficial car park.
6.	The report identifies that model "calibration" has been applied to the intersection of Mulgoa Road / Union Road and gap acceptance parameters changed for the south approach right turn to ensure that the 95th percentile back of queue length is contained within the existing right turn lane.
	Given that Mulgoa Road is under construction to be upgraded to three lanes in each direction, and the right turn volumes at Mulgoa Road/ Union Road are projected volumes, the calibration will not result in replicating the typical traffic condition.
	Any default parameters should only be changed to replicate existing behaviour and adequate justification should be included in the report.
Response	Observations on site at the time of the modelling indicate that the gap acceptance for the right turn movement is less that the default setting in SIDRA. When running the model we observe queuing that does not occur on site and calibrated the model to reflect the on-site observations (this was prior to the construction work). The change to the default setting is made exactly for this reason, to ensure that the model reflects actual performance.
7.	Section 3.3 discusses future road network amendments, including intersection upgrades to Mulgoa Road and the Mulgoa Road / High Street intersection. These changes have already been included in the model results presented in Section 3.2.3 and therefore should already be described earlier in the report or the models updated to show the existing conditions road network.
Response	At the time of preparing the original model in 2017, the 2020 scenario anticipated that the Mulgoa Road upgrade would be completed. As of June 2020, the works are still underway, therefore we have rerun the 2020 model with the current (not upgraded) road network to reflect the current conditions.

8.	It is recommended that development traffic distribution assumptions be included in the report. To provide a clear understanding of the development impacts, it is prudent to understand how the development traffic was distributed on the network.
Response	The traffic associated with the development was distributed according to the current distribution patterns at each intersection and the directional split on each road. The traffic flow diagrams are presented in Section 3.2 of the Traffic Impact Assessment.
9.	The report mentions that the traffic generated by the Urban Apartments development has been included within the Post-Development modelling scenario. This should be described clearly in the modelling scenarios discussed in Item 3, and could be included at the future base scenario, or as a further post development scenario, depending on which development is likely to be constructed first.
Response	We have included the Urban Apartments development within the 2026 base model (noting that we were requested by Council to include this development within the post-development model, which was accepted in the context of the approved DA).
10.	The report comments that some delays experienced in the network at the intersections will increase from the existing conditions but "the proposal will result in marginal increases to those delays".  A significant change in operation is expected at the intersections of Mulgoa Road / Union Road, Worth Street / Union Road and High Street / Worth Street, and therefore the comment is currently considered inaccurate. It may be clarified or justified if the build-up of design year scenarios is improved.
Response	The new 2026 'without development' scenario enables a clear comparison of the pre and post development situations.  Generally, while the development results in some increases to the average delays on those movements where additional traffic is applied, none of the intersections result in a high LoS and none of the intersections have an overall LoS of D or less, other than Worth Street / High Street, which operates at LoS E during the PM peak in both pre and post development scenarios (this intersection operates at LoS E at present).
11.	The report describes the future operation of the Mulgoa Road / Union Road intersection, summarising that the unsignalised right turn exceeds the capacity of the movement in the peak periods. The report comments that this "is likely due to the random arrival of vehicles from the north within the two southbound lanes", yet both the existing and future year models include the three-lane southbound layout.  This section also mentions that "The operation of the High Street intersection likely creates gaps between the signal phases that are not being replicated in SIDRA".  This statement is also considered inaccurate as this is one of the main purposes of developing SIDRA Network models. It is noted that providing correct phasing in a logical sequence may improve the anticipated operation of this movement  It is recommended that this section of the report is reviewed as it is not accurate and revised.
Response	It is noted that the report should have referred to three southbound lanes, but the comment relating to random arrivals is still valid. While the southbound lanes of Mulgoa Road are free flowing, there are little opportunities for the right turn movement, however, we observed on site that the changes to the phases at the High Street intersection produced gaps of sufficient length to enable the lead vehicle and some follow-up vehicles to make the turn. The initial modelling did not reflect this outcome. Hence, we calibrated with the gap acceptance adjustment to result in more realistic queue lengths. The intersection operates similarly when run within the network or in isolation.

12.	The report concludes that: "In summary, the model indicates that the proposal will be accommodated within the road network and will result in some manageable increase to the delays at some intersections"
	As per the results presented in Table 17 and 18 of the report, delays at some intersections increase in the order of 500 seconds and LOS drops from C/D to F with the proposed development. Furthermore, three intersections are anticipated to operate with a DOS over 1. This indicates that the intersections are operating above capacity.
	As highlighted in Item 3, it is recommended that new 2026 future base models are developed with background growth but without the development to understand which aspect of traffic is likely to causes the poor operation. If development traffic causes the poor operation of the intersections, then mitigation options will need to be developed and assessed for the intersections which are operating over capacity.
Response	Noted: The increase in poor results is related to a comparison between the 2020 and 2026 post development scenarios. A comparison between the 2026 base case and 2026 post development scenarios presents a more appropriate basis for the assessment. Many of the poor results noted by TfNSW in the post development model are also identified in the 2026 base case, indicating that the background traffic growth that has been applied to the model causes the performance of the intersections to decrease.
	The proposed revised development results in very little change to the results, for example, all of the overall intersection LoS results are the same in both the pre and post development scenarios (AM and PM). In this regard, there are no mitigation works are required as a result of the development.
	The right turn movement from Mulgoa Road into Union Road operates with a high degree of saturation under both scenarios and this results in a sizable increase in the average delay and queue lengths in the post development scenario, however only a small number of development-generated vehicles are added to this movement. This disproportionate result is the effect of adding traffic to a highly saturated movement.
13.	It does not appear that pedestrian surveys have been undertaken. It is recommended that pedestrian information is collected for the signalised intersections on Worth Street as the intersection operation will be affected by vehicles giving way to pedestrians.
Response	The data for pedestrians was not included within either the Council or RMS dataset, therefore the default values of 50 pedestrians per hour was retained on each crossing. This is sufficient to call up a crossing movement on each cycle and was therefore considered a suitable assumption.
14.	Approach cruise speeds and exit cruise speeds should match the posted speed limits at Union Road, Worth Street and Union Lane.
Response	Noted. This has been checked and changed where necessary.
15.	Gap acceptance parameters have been adjusted from their default values at the intersection of Mulgoa Road / Union Road.
	As discussed in Item 6, this is not considered appropriate given that both the layout and volumes represent future conditions.
Response	See response to Item 6
16.	All lane widths for all intersections are the default 3.3m. Throughout the intersection network, there are lane widths ranging from 2.5m to 3.3m. It is recommended that these are updated.
Response	Noted. This has been checked and changed where necessary.
17.	Intersection geometry is incorrect at the Worth Street / Union Lane intersection as follows:

East approach – right turn lane should be a short lane with parking
South approach- should have two exit lanes and two approach lanes.
Noted. This has been checked and changed where necessary.
Intersection geometry is incorrect at the Worth Street / Union Road intersection as follows:
North approach – kerb side lane should be a left turn only lane, without parking.
East approach – kerb side lane should be a short lane with parking.
Noted. This has been checked and changed where necessary.
On the north approach at High Street / Worth Street the left turn slip lane has been modelled as a separate lane. SIDRA recommends that slip lanes with a length less than 30m are modelled as a slip lane off the through lane and Free Queue distances added in the Lane Disciplines to inform how queue lengths for through and left turn vehicles interact. It is recommenced that this is updated.
Noted. This has been checked and changed and we note for future reference.
The intersection control needs to be updated at the Worth Street / Union Lane intersection to be a Give-Way rather than a Stop.
Noted. This has been checked and changed.
It is noted that pedestrian protection is not included for any of the pedestrian movements. It is likely pedestrian protection will be included from left turn vehicles and some right turn movements at the signalised intersections on Worth Street.
The model should include pedestrian protection for all conflicting movements in line with TfNSW's Traffic Signal Design Manual and specific advice from the TfNSW Network Operations teams.
If pedestrian protection is provided at the sites, pedestrian movements must be included within the priorities, and where required the length of late start for vehicles added under Gap Acceptance, Opposing Peds (signals).
Pedestrian protection was not operating at the modelled intersections at the time of the modelling. It is unknown whether it is operating now or if not when it will be introduced to these intersections. Regardless, it is relevant to apply the same setting to the post and predevelopment scenarios to provide an accurate comparison.
At High Street and Mulgoa Road, the adopted traffic signal phase sequence of A, C, F2 would be unconventional and must be reviewed.
Phasing for all signalised intersections requires review and should be set up as per SCATS data. Further detail is required in the report on how the phasing sequence and the phases were identified.
The phasing has been adjusted based on the TCS drawing phase diagram.
At High Street / Worth Street, the adopted phasing should be obtained from SCATS.
The phasing has been established based on the TCS drawing phase diagram.
Phasing and timing at Worth Street / Union Road needs to be the same as SCATS. Any changes to this phasing also should be consulted with network operations in TfNSW.
The 2026 model deals with future traffic growth and changes to the intersection geometry, therefore the SCATS data is not applicable to the future scenarios.



25.	It is recommended that using 'Undetected' movements be considered and phase transitions for related signalised left turn movements be considered and reviewed for the signalised intersections along Worth Street.
	'Undetected movements' has been applied to the slip lane at the intersection of High Street / Worth Street.
26.	All changes recommended above should be undertaken on the 2026 post development models.
	Noted and included
27.	Approach and exit cruise speeds have been kept as the default 60km/h for new roads. It is recommended that this is reviewed to ensure that this is correct.
	Noted. This has been checked and changed where necessary.
28.	The intersection phasing adopted at High Street / Mulgoa Road needs to be completely reviewed as described in the above sections. Furthermore, it is noted that the addition of a user class for the Urban Apartments has affected the phasing for the left turn slip lane from the east approach and there are now major vehicle conflicts. Refer to Figure 1 for an example.
	The phasing issue has been corrected and the Urban Apartments traffic volume has been run within the 2026 base case.

**Document Control:** Prepared by AM on 29 June 2020. Reviewed by HL on 29 June 2020.

© Copyright; **ptc.** 



# **Attachment 1 - Sidra Intersection Summaries**

© Copyright; **ptc.** 9

Site: 1 [1. High St and Mulgoa Rd - No Upgrade]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing AM Peak]

High Street and Mulgoa Road 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Perform	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		rate		km/h
Sout	h: Mulgo	oa Road												
1	L2	140	2.0	140	2.0	0.194	25.2	LOS B	4.9	34.7	0.69	0.73	0.69	36.4
2	T1	1023	2.0	1023	2.0	1.129	194.2	LOS F	34.4	244.8	1.00	1.68	2.04	10.0
3	R2	119	2.0	119	2.0	0.919	91.9	LOS F	9.5	67.3	1.00	1.00	1.48	5.9
Appr	roach	1282	2.0	1282	2.0	1.129	166.3	LOS F	34.4	244.8	0.97	1.51	1.84	10.8
East	: High S	treet												
4	L2	59	2.0	58	2.0	0.205	41.0	LOS C	5.8	41.3	0.76	0.75	1.01	10.7
5	T1	175	2.0	171	2.0	0.205	39.5	LOS C	5.8	41.3	0.79	0.69	0.89	29.2
6	R2	105	2.0	103	2.0	0.376	64.1	LOS E	6.4	45.8	0.95	0.78	0.95	22.1
Appr	oach	339	2.0	332 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 2.0	0.376	47.4	LOS D	6.4	45.8	0.84	0.73	0.93	24.5
Nort	h: Castle	ereagh Ro	ad											
7	L2	148	2.0	148	2.0	0.991	100.4	LOS F	59.2	421.2	1.00	1.20	1.42	14.7
8	T1	1058	2.0	1058	2.0	0.991	94.5	LOS F	59.2	421.2	1.00	1.22	1.43	14.9
9	R2	498	2.0	498	2.0	1.126	172.3	LOS F	25.4	180.8	1.00	1.30	2.11	13.5
Appr	oach	1704	2.0	1704	2.0	1.126	117.7	LOS F	59.2	421.2	1.00	1.24	1.63	14.2
Wes	t: High S	Street												
10	L2	895	2.0	895	2.0	0.562	31.0	LOS C	16.5	117.4	0.80	0.88	0.97	39.5
11	T1	501	2.0	501	2.0	1.129	194.7	LOS F	66.1	470.9	1.00	1.68	2.04	8.1
12	R2	294	2.0	294	2.0	1.081	166.2	LOS F	33.9	241.3	1.00	1.26	1.91	9.3
Appr	oach	1689	2.0	1689	2.0	1.129	103.1	LOS F	66.1	470.9	0.89	1.18	1.45	18.5
All V	ehicles	5015	2.0	5008 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 2.0	1.129	120.5	LOS F	66.1	470.9	0.94	1.26	1.58	14.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians														
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate							
P1	South Full Crossing	53	48.2	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.83	0.83							
P1S	South Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	30.2	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.90	0.90							
P2	East Full Crossing	53	41.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.77	0.77							

P3 North Full Crossing P4S West Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	50.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.85	0.85
	53	43.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.79	0.79
All Pedestrians	263	42.8	LOS E			0.83	0.83

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:41:43 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing AM
Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing AM Peak.sip8



V Site: 2 [2. Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd - No Upgrade]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing AM Peak]

Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles		Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	_
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Mulgo	oa Road												
2	T1	1309	2.0	1309	2.0	0.498	2.4	LOS A	34.6	246.4	0.21	0.00	0.27	55.7
3	R2	302	2.0	302	2.0	1.217	236.6	LOS F	42.3	301.4	1.00	3.51	10.49	6.8
Appro	oach	1612	2.0	1612	2.0	1.217	46.3	NA	42.3	301.4	0.36	0.66	2.19	23.7
East:	Union	Road												
4	L2	60	2.0	60	2.0	0.074	8.4	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.45	0.66	0.45	49.7
Appro	oach	60	2.0	60	2.0	0.074	8.4	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.45	0.66	0.45	49.7
North	: Mulgo	oa Road												
7	L2	334	2.0	329	2.0	0.179	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	38.5
8	T1	1084	2.0		2.0	0.277	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	oach	1418	2.0	1396 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.277	1.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.14	0.00	57.6
All Ve	hicles	3089	2.0	3067 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	1.217	25.1	NA	42.3	301.4	0.20	0.42	1.16	33.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:41:43 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing AM

Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing AM Peak.sip8



♥ Site: 3 [3. High St and Civic Roundabout]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing AM Peak]

High and Civic Roundabout 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Mov	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	High St	t (E)												
5	T1	313	2.0	310	2.0	0.112	2.1	LOS A	0.5	3.2	0.08	0.27	0.08	41.8
6	R2	28	2.0	28	2.0	0.112	7.5	LOS A	0.4	3.2	0.08	0.32	0.08	50.6
Appro	oach	341	2.0	339 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.112	2.6	LOS A	0.5	3.2	0.08	0.27	0.08	43.6
North	: Civic I	PI (N)												
7	L2	17	2.0	17	2.0	0.042	4.0	LOS A	0.2	1.4	0.45	0.57	0.45	42.2
9	R2	26	2.0	26	2.0	0.042	9.2	LOS A	0.2	1.4	0.45	0.57	0.45	42.2
Appro	oach	43	2.0	43	2.0	0.042	7.1	LOSA	0.2	1.4	0.45	0.57	0.45	42.2
West	: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	74	2.0	68	2.0	0.230	2.6	LOS A	1.1	7.9	0.07	0.25	0.07	48.1
11	T1	695	2.0	643	2.0	0.230	2.1	LOSA	1.1	7.9	0.07	0.24	0.07	41.0
Appro	oach	768	2.0	<mark>711</mark> N	2.0	0.230	2.2	LOSA	1.1	7.9	0.07	0.25	0.07	42.9
All Ve	ehicles	1153	2.0	1093 <sup>N</sup>	2.1	0.230	2.5	LOSA	1.1	7.9	0.09	0.27	0.09	43.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

#### SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:41:43 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing AM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing AM Peak.sip8

Site: 4 [4. High St and Worth St]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing AM Peak]

High and Worth 2020 Existing

Existing Road Network, No Dev

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehicl	es									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.	Average	Level of		of Queue		Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		Nate		km/h
Sout	h: Wortl	h St (S)												
1	L2	344	2.0	340	2.0	0.506	29.6	LOS C	13.8	97.9	0.75	0.78	0.75	7.8
2	T1	127	2.0	126	2.0	0.286	21.6	LOS B	7.8	55.3	0.66	0.64	0.66	32.1
3	R2	91	2.0	89	2.0	0.286	25.9	LOS B	7.8	55.3	0.66	0.64	0.66	31.8
Appı	oach	562	2.0	<mark>554</mark> N	2.0	0.506	27.2	LOS B	13.8	97.9	0.71	0.72	0.71	20.9
East	: High S	St (E)												
4	L2	28	2.0	28	2.0	0.240	34.8	LOS C	6.4	45.4	0.76	0.65	0.76	27.2
5	T1	282	2.0	282	2.0	0.240	30.2	LOS C	6.5	46.6	0.76	0.64	0.76	27.4
6	R2	31	2.0	31	2.0	0.110	40.9	LOS C	1.4	9.8	0.79	0.71	0.79	31.8
Appı	oach	341	2.0	341	2.0	0.240	31.6	LOS C	6.5	46.6	0.76	0.64	0.76	28.0
Nort	h: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	1	2.0	1	2.0	0.014	17.9	LOS B	0.4	2.9	0.47	0.34	0.47	42.2
8	T1	14	2.0	14	2.0	0.014	13.4	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.47	0.34	0.47	36.6
9	R2	16	2.0	16	2.0	0.041	20.7	LOS B	0.4	3.1	0.67	0.64	0.67	32.2
Appı	oach	31	2.0	31	2.0	0.041	17.3	LOS B	0.4	3.1	0.57	0.49	0.57	34.5
Wes	t: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	118	2.0	110	2.0	0.209	36.0	LOS C	4.6	33.1	0.76	0.74	0.76	28.9
11	T1	451	2.0	420	2.0	0.321	31.2	LOS C	9.0	64.3	0.78	0.66	0.78	30.5
12	R2	199	2.0	186	2.0	0.569	45.0	LOS D	9.5	67.6	0.91	0.82	0.91	10.9
Appı	oach	767	2.0	<mark>716</mark> N	2.0	0.569	35.5	LOS C	9.5	67.6	0.81	0.71	0.81	26.3
All V	ehicles	1701	2.0	1642 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 2.1	0.569	31.6	LOS C	13.8	97.9	0.76	0.70	0.76	25.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians												
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec		Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate					
P1	South Full Crossing	53	32.3	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.73	0.73					
P2	East Full Crossing	53	18.7	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.56	0.56					
P3	North Full Crossing	53	33.8	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.75	0.75					

P3S	North Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	27.4	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.68	0.68
P4 P4S	West Full Crossing West Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53 53	26.1 54.3	LOS C LOS E	0.1 0.2	0.1 0.2	0.66 0.95	0.66 0.95
All Pe	edestrians	316	32.1	LOS D			0.72	0.72

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:41:43 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing AM
Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing AM Peak.sip8



Site: 5 [5. Worth St and Union Ln]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing AM Peak]

Worth St and Union Ln 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Mov	ement	Performa	ınce -	Vehicl	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles		Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Wortl	h St (S)												
1	L2	74	2.0	72	2.0	0.040	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	29.7
2	T1	442	2.0	434	2.0	0.226	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
Appr	oach	516	2.0	<mark>507</mark> <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.226	0.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.07	0.00	42.8
East	: Union	Ln (E)												
4	L2	18	2.0	18	2.0	0.059	3.9	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.34	0.50	0.34	35.0
5	T1	20	2.0	20	2.0	0.059	9.1	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.34	0.50	0.34	35.1
6	R2	25	2.0	25	2.0	0.130	10.9	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.61	0.80	0.61	32.3
Appr	oach	63	2.0	63	2.0	0.130	8.4	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.45	0.62	0.45	34.0
Nort	h: Worth	n St (N)												
8	T1	143	2.0	135	2.0	0.071	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
9	R2	97	2.0	91	2.0	0.103	6.8	LOS A	0.4	2.6	0.41	0.64	0.41	25.2
Appr	oach	240	2.0	227 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.103	2.7	NA	0.4	2.6	0.17	0.26	0.17	32.6
Wes	t: Union	Ln (W)												
10	L2	36	2.0	36	2.0	0.081	6.0	LOS A	0.3	2.2	0.52	0.70	0.52	20.0
12	R2	15	2.0	15	2.0	0.081	12.2	LOS A	0.3	2.2	0.52	0.70	0.52	20.0
Appr	oach	51	2.0	51	2.0	0.081	7.8	LOSA	0.3	2.2	0.52	0.70	0.52	20.0
All V	ehicles	869	2.0	847 <sup>N</sup>	2.1	0.226	2.1	NA	0.4	2.6	0.11	0.20	0.11	35.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:41:43 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing AM

Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing AM Peak.sip8

Site: 6 [6. Worth St and Union Rd]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing AM Peak]

Worth St and Union Rd 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.	Average	Level of		of Queue		Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	venicies	Distance	Queuea	Stop Rate	Cycles S	peed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sou	h: Wort	h St (S)												
1	L2	22	2.0	22	2.0	0.390	68.8	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	17.8
2	T1	20	2.0	20	2.0	0.390	64.2	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	17.8
3	R2	13	2.0	13	2.0	0.390	68.8	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	25.8
App	oach	55	2.0	55	2.0	0.390	67.2	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	20.1
East	: Union	Rd (E)												
4	L2	4	2.0	4	2.0	0.129	13.1	LOS A	3.6	26.0	0.39	0.34	0.39	44.3
5	T1	156	2.0	156	2.0	0.129	8.5	LOS A	3.6	26.0	0.39	0.34	0.39	40.6
6	R2	398	2.0	398	2.0	0.678	21.3	LOS B	15.7	111.7	0.67	0.78	0.67	31.7
App	roach	558	2.0	558	2.0	0.678	17.7	LOS B	15.7	111.7	0.59	0.65	0.59	33.9
Nort	h: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	109	2.0	105	2.0	0.615	67.8	LOS E	6.7	47.5	1.00	0.80	1.03	18.6
8	T1	7	2.0	7	2.0	0.061	55.7	LOS D	0.7	5.3	0.92	0.66	0.92	20.7
9	R2	6	2.0	6	2.0	0.061	59.6	LOS E	0.7	5.3	0.92	0.66	0.92	3.7
App	oach	123	2.0	118 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.615	66.6	LOS E	6.7	47.5	0.99	0.78	1.02	18.3
Wes	t: Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	96	2.0	87	2.0	0.069	8.6	LOS A	1.4	10.2	0.27	0.58	0.27	36.6
11	T1	266	2.0	242	2.0	0.211	8.9	LOS A	5.8	41.2	0.41	0.37	0.41	43.3
12	R2	5	2.0	5	2.0	0.211	13.6	LOS A	5.8	41.2	0.42	0.36	0.42	42.5
App	oach	367	2.0	333 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 2.0	0.211	8.9	LOS A	5.8	41.2	0.37	0.42	0.37	42.4
All V	ehicles	1103	2.0	1063 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 2.1	0.678	22.9	LOS B	15.7	111.7	0.59	0.60	0.59	32.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Mov	Movement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective						
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate						
		ped/h	sec		ped	m								
P1	South Full Crossing	53	9.3	LOS A	0.1	0.1	0.38	0.38						
P2	East Full Crossing	53	58.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95						
P3	North Full Crossing	53	11.2	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.42	0.42						

P4 West Full Crossing	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
All Pedestrians	211	34.5	LOS D			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:41:43 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing AM
Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing AM Peak.sip8

Site: 1 [1. High St and Mulgoa Rd - No Upgrade]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing PM Peak]

High Street and Mulgoa Road 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	/ement	Perform	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		l Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		raio		km/h
Sou	th: Mulge	oa Road												
1	L2	238	2.0	238	2.0	0.317	23.0	LOS B	7.6	54.2	0.72	0.76	0.72	37.7
2	T1	825	2.0	825	2.0	0.902	69.0	LOS E	32.2	229.4	1.00	1.05	1.23	22.1
3	R2	62	2.0	62	2.0	0.400	73.2	LOS F	4.2	29.7	0.99	0.76	0.99	7.3
App	roach	1125	2.0	1125	2.0	0.902	59.5	LOS E	32.2	229.4	0.94	0.97	1.11	23.6
East	: High S	treet												
4	L2	96	2.0	96	2.0	0.923	88.7	LOS F	26.4	187.7	1.00	1.17	1.81	5.4
5	T1	609	2.0	609	2.0	0.923	80.2	LOS F	26.4	187.7	1.00	1.12	1.54	19.3
6	R2	285	2.0	285	2.0	0.948	93.4	LOS F	24.0	170.8	1.00	1.04	1.42	17.3
App	roach	991	2.0	991	2.0	0.948	84.8	LOS F	26.4	187.7	1.00	1.10	1.53	17.6
Nort	h: Castle	ereagh Ro	ad											
7	L2	106	2.0	106	2.0	0.960	80.4	LOS F	60.7	432.5	1.00	1.12	1.29	17.6
8	T1	1213	2.0	1213	2.0	0.960	74.8	LOS F	60.7	432.5	0.99	1.13	1.29	17.6
9	R2	633	2.0	633	2.0	0.935	64.6	LOS E	17.5	124.4	1.00	1.04	1.44	28.9
App	roach	1952	2.0	1952	2.0	0.960	71.8	LOS F	60.7	432.5	0.99	1.10	1.34	21.7
Wes	t: High S	Street												
10	L2	584	2.0	584	2.0	0.380	21.3	LOS B	8.2	58.2	0.73	0.77	0.73	44.0
11	T1	249	2.0	249	2.0	0.679	57.0	LOS E	16.0	113.7	0.99	0.83	0.99	21.3
12	R2	157	2.0	157	2.0	0.527	64.1	LOS E	9.9	70.8	0.97	0.81	0.97	19.7
App	roach	991	2.0	991	2.0	0.679	37.1	LOS C	16.0	113.7	0.83	0.79	0.83	34.0
All V	ehicles	5058	2.0	5058	2.0	0.960	64.8	LOS E	60.7	432.5	0.95	1.01	1.23	23.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	ment Performance - Pedes	trians						
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate
P1 P1S	South Full Crossing South Slip/Bypass Lane	53 53	57.7 25.8	LOS E LOS C	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.91 0.84	0.91 0.84
P2	Crossing East Full Crossing	53	36.5	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.72	0.72

P3 North Full Crossing P4S West Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	60.5	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.93	0.93
	53	43.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.79	0.79
All Pedestrians	263	44.8	LOS E			0.84	0.84

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:53:57 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing PM
Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing PM Peak.sip8



V Site: 2 [2. Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd - No Upgrade]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing PM Peak]

Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Mulge	oa Road												
2	T1	1121	2.0	1121	2.0	0.503	0.1	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.7
3	R2	163	2.0	163	2.0	0.776	43.1	LOS D	4.6	32.7	0.95	1.27	2.10	25.0
Appro	oach	1284	2.0	1284	2.0	0.776	5.6	NA	4.6	32.7	0.12	0.16	0.27	50.7
East:	Union	Road												
4	L2	258	2.0	258	2.0	0.380	11.4	LOS A	2.1	15.0	0.60	0.89	0.77	47.2
Appro	oach	258	2.0	258	2.0	0.380	11.4	LOS A	2.1	15.0	0.60	0.89	0.77	47.2
North	: Mulgo	oa Road												
7	L2	109	2.0	109	2.0	0.060	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	38.5
8	T1	1359	2.0	1359	2.0	0.353	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	oach	1468	2.0	1468	2.0	0.353	0.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	0.00	59.3
All Ve	hicles	3011	2.0	3011	2.0	0.776	3.6	NA	4.6	32.7	0.10	0.17	0.18	54.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:53:57 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing PM

Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing PM Peak.sip8



♥ Site: 3 [3. High St and Civic Roundabout]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing PM Peak]

High and Civic Roundabout 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Mov	ement	Performa	ınce -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		l Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	High S	t (E)												
5	T1	891	2.0	891	2.0	0.637	2.4	LOS A	1.6	11.7	0.20	0.29	0.20	40.7
6	R2	27	2.0	27	2.0	0.637	7.9	LOS A	1.6	11.7	0.20	0.31	0.20	50.4
Appro	oach	918	2.0	918	2.0	0.637	2.6	LOS A	1.6	11.7	0.20	0.29	0.20	41.4
North	: Civic I	PI (N)												
7	L2	52	2.0	52	2.0	0.228	3.4	LOS A	0.7	4.7	0.37	0.58	0.37	42.3
9	R2	100	2.0	100	2.0	0.228	8.6	LOS A	0.7	4.7	0.37	0.58	0.37	42.3
Appro	oach	152	2.0	152	2.0	0.228	6.8	LOSA	0.7	4.7	0.37	0.58	0.37	42.3
West	: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	58	2.0	58	2.0	0.137	2.6	LOS A	0.6	4.4	0.07	0.26	0.07	48.1
11	T1	360	2.0	360	2.0	0.137	2.1	LOS A	0.6	4.4	0.07	0.25	0.07	41.0
Appro	oach	418	2.0	418	2.0	0.137	2.2	LOSA	0.6	4.4	0.07	0.25	0.07	43.5
All Ve	ehicles	1487	2.0	1487	2.0	0.637	2.9	LOSA	1.6	11.7	0.18	0.31	0.18	42.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:53:57 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing PM
Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing PM Peak.sip8

Site: 4 [4. High St and Worth St]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing PM Peak]

High and Worth 2020 Existing

Existing Road Network, No Dev

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Perform	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total		Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		rate		km/h
Sout	h: Wort	h St (S)												
1	L2	198	2.0	198	2.0	0.659	56.1	LOS D	11.2	79.4	0.99	0.83	1.01	4.4
2	T1	111	2.0	111	2.0	0.429	46.8	LOS D	7.3	51.9	0.93	0.76	0.93	23.4
3	R2	28	2.0	28	2.0	0.429	51.0	LOS D	7.3	51.9	0.93	0.76	0.93	23.2
Appr	oach	337	2.0	337	2.0	0.659	52.6	LOS D	11.2	79.4	0.96	0.80	0.97	14.0
East	: High S	St (E)												
4	L2	77	2.0	77	2.0	0.469	33.1	LOS C	13.3	94.8	0.79	0.71	0.79	27.8
5	T1	592	2.0	592	2.0	0.469	28.4	LOS B	15.4	109.7	0.79	0.70	0.79	28.1
6	R2	181	2.0	181	2.0	0.417	35.5	LOS C	8.0	57.0	0.79	0.78	0.79	33.4
Appr	oach	849	2.0	849	2.0	0.469	30.3	LOS C	15.4	109.7	0.79	0.72	0.79	29.7
North	n: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	1	2.0	1	2.0	0.298	23.8	LOS B	6.5	46.2	0.63	0.52	0.63	39.6
8	T1	184	2.0	184	2.0	0.298	19.3	LOS B	6.5	46.2	0.63	0.52	0.63	33.0
9	R2	309	2.0	309	2.0	0.538	26.9	LOS B	11.0	78.3	0.87	0.81	0.87	29.1
Appr	oach	495	2.0	495	2.0	0.538	24.0	LOS B	11.0	78.3	0.78	0.70	0.78	30.5
West	t: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	203	2.0	203	2.0	0.481	42.6	LOS D	9.9	70.5	0.87	0.80	0.87	26.9
11	T1	232	2.0	232	2.0	0.151	24.4	LOS B	4.3	30.4	0.67	0.55	0.67	33.3
12	R2	212	2.0	212	2.0	0.955	93.9	LOS F	17.7	126.2	1.00	1.15	1.62	5.9
Appr	oach	646	2.0	646	2.0	0.955	52.8	LOS D	17.7	126.2	0.84	0.82	1.05	20.6
All V	ehicles	2327	2.0	2327	2.0	0.955	38.5	LOS C	17.7	126.2	0.83	0.76	0.89	24.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	ment Performance - Pedest	trians						
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate
P1	South Full Crossing	53	27.4	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.68	0.68
P2	East Full Crossing	53	22.9	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.62	0.62
P3	North Full Crossing	53	28.8	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.69	0.69
P3S	North Slip/Bypass Lane	53	22.9	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.62	0.62

Crossing							
P4 West Full Crossing	53	51.5	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.93	0.93
P4S West Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	34.6	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.76	0.76
All Pedestrians	316	31.3	LOS D			0.72	0.72

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:53:57 PM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing PM

Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing PM Peak.sip8



🥯 Site: 5 [5. Worth St and Union Ln]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing PM Peak]

Worth St and Union Ln 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles														
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
	h: Wortl	` '												
1	L2	58	2.0	58	2.0	0.032	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	29.7
2	T1	311	2.0	311	2.0	0.161	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
Аррі	oach	368	2.0	368	2.0	0.161	0.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.08	0.00	42.3
East	: Union	Ln (E)												
4	L2	18	2.0	18	2.0	0.092	4.5	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.48	0.59	0.48	34.7
5	T1	20	2.0	20	2.0	0.092	9.4	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.48	0.59	0.48	34.8
6	R2	25	2.0	25	2.0	0.094	11.0	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.61	0.79	0.61	32.3
Аррі	oach	63	2.0	63	2.0	0.094	8.7	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.53	0.67	0.53	33.8
Nort	h: Worth	n St (N)												
8	T1	382	2.0	382	2.0	0.141	0.4	LOS A	11.6	82.4	0.08	0.08	0.08	41.9
9	R2	89	2.0	89	2.0	0.141	6.0	LOS A	0.7	4.8	0.28	0.26	0.28	24.7
Аррі	oach	472	2.0	472	2.0	0.141	1.4	NA	11.6	82.4	0.12	0.11	0.12	34.9
Wes	t: Union	Ln (W)												
10	L2	1	2.0	1	2.0	0.058	5.2	LOS A	0.2	1.5	0.63	0.80	0.63	14.3
12	R2	20	2.0	20	2.0	0.058	12.5	LOS A	0.2	1.5	0.63	0.80	0.63	14.3
Аррі	oach	21	2.0	21	2.0	0.058	12.1	LOSA	0.2	1.5	0.63	0.80	0.63	14.3
All V	ehicles	924	2.0	924	2.0	0.161	1.8	NA	11.6	82.4	0.11	0.15	0.11	35.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:53:57 PM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing PM Peak.sip8

Site: 6 [6. Worth St and Union Rd]

♦♦ Network: N101 [Network Model - 2020 Existing PM Peak]

Worth St and Union Rd 2020 Existing Existing Road Network, No Dev Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Perform	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		rate		km/h
Sout	h: Worth	n St (S)												
1	L2	16	2.0	16	2.0	0.333	68.3	LOS E	3.0	21.6	0.98	0.74	0.98	18.0
2	T1	28	2.0	28	2.0	0.333	63.7	LOS E	3.0	21.6	0.98	0.74	0.98	18.0
3	R2	4	2.0	4	2.0	0.333	68.3	LOS E	3.0	21.6	0.98	0.74	0.98	26.1
Appr	oach	48	2.0	48	2.0	0.333	65.6	LOS E	3.0	21.6	0.98	0.74	0.98	19.0
East	: Union I	Rd (E)												
4	L2	33	2.0	33	2.0	0.183	25.1	LOS B	5.8	41.0	0.61	0.55	0.61	38.4
5	T1	129	2.0	129	2.0	0.183	20.5	LOS B	5.8	41.0	0.61	0.55	0.61	31.7
6	R2	315	2.0	315	2.0	0.914	72.2	LOS F	24.1	171.7	0.95	1.03	1.32	17.0
Appr	oach	477	2.0	477	2.0	0.914	54.9	LOS D	24.1	171.7	0.83	0.87	1.08	20.9
North	n: Worth	St (N)												
7	L2	395	2.0	395	2.0	0.774	52.5	LOS D	11.5	81.6	0.98	0.89	1.03	21.7
8	T1	32	2.0	32	2.0	0.063	34.4	LOS C	1.6	11.4	0.74	0.58	0.74	26.9
9	R2	4	2.0	4	2.0	0.063	38.3	LOS C	1.6	11.4	0.74	0.58	0.74	5.9
Appr	oach	431	2.0	431	2.0	0.774	51.0	LOS D	11.5	81.6	0.96	0.86	1.01	21.9
West	t: Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	131	2.0	131	2.0	0.134	16.0	LOS B	3.8	26.9	0.45	0.64	0.45	29.7
11	T1	277	2.0	277	2.0	0.411	21.7	LOS B	10.6	75.2	0.65	0.57	0.65	36.4
12	R2	11	2.0	11	2.0	0.411	26.8	LOS B	10.6	75.2	0.66	0.57	0.66	35.7
Appr	oach	418	2.0	418	2.0	0.411	20.0	LOS B	10.6	75.2	0.59	0.59	0.59	35.1
All Ve	ehicles	1374	2.0	1374	2.0	0.914	43.5	LOS D	24.1	171.7	0.81	0.78	0.90	24.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	ement Performance - Ped	estrians						
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate
P1	South Full Crossing	53	20.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.56	0.56
P2	East Full Crossing	53	37.8	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.76	0.76
P3	North Full Crossing	53	23.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.60	0.60
P4	West Full Crossing	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96

All Pedestrians 211 35.3 LOS D 0.72 0.72

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 5:53:57 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2020 Existing PM

Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2020 Existing PM Peak.sip8

Site: 1 [1. High St and Mulgoa Rd]

→

→

→

Network: N101 [Network

Model - 2026 Future Base AM

Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban

Apt)]

Apt)]

High Street and Mulgoa Road 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Performa	nce -	Vehicl	es									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.		Level of	95% Back		Prop.	Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Mulg	oa Road (S	)											
1	L2	158	2.0	158	2.0	0.224	23.4	LOS B	5.0	35.2	0.71	0.74	0.71	37.5
2	T1	1131	2.0	1131	2.0	0.707	49.4	LOS D	23.2	165.0	0.96	0.83	0.96	27.2
3	R2	105	2.0	105	2.0	0.473	68.8	LOS E	6.9	48.9	0.98	0.79	0.98	8.1
Appr	oach	1394	2.0	1394	2.0	0.707	47.9	LOS D	23.2	165.0	0.94	0.82	0.94	26.7
East	: High S	treet (E)												
4	L2	33	3.2	32	3.3	0.053	38.8	LOS C	1.5	10.5	0.71	0.69	0.71	10.6
5	T1	183	1.7	181	1.7	0.271	54.5	LOS D	5.4	38.3	0.91	0.72	0.91	25.0
6	R2	121	1.7	120	1.8	0.285	68.0	LOS E	3.8	27.1	0.96	0.75	0.96	21.7
Appr	oach	337	1.9	333 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	0.285	57.9	LOS E	5.4	38.3	0.91	0.73	0.91	22.9
North	n: Castle	ereagh Roa	d (N)											
7	L2	278	2.0	278	2.0	0.220	10.2	LOS A	5.0	35.4	0.36	0.66	0.36	45.4
8	T1	1200	2.0	1200	2.0	0.483	31.2	LOS C	19.6	139.2	0.78	0.68	0.78	30.3
9	R2	593	2.0	593	2.0	0.708	33.7	LOS C	10.4	74.1	0.98	0.84	0.98	38.7
Appr	oach	2071	2.0	2071	2.0	0.708	29.1	LOS C	19.6	139.2	0.78	0.72	0.78	34.8
West	t: High S	Street (W)												
10	L2	887	2.0	887	2.0	0.547	23.7	LOS B	14.7	104.8	0.79	0.81	0.81	42.8
11	T1	478	2.0	478	2.0	0.717	61.9	LOS E	15.8	112.5	1.00	0.86	1.03	20.5
12	R2	293	2.0	293	2.0	0.699	72.9	LOS F	10.1	71.6	1.00	0.84	1.07	18.1
Appr	oach	1658	2.0	1658	2.0	0.717	43.4	LOS D	15.8	112.5	0.88	0.83	0.92	31.2
All V	ehicles	5459	2.0	<mark>5455</mark> N	2.0	0.717	40.0	LOS C	23.2	165.0	0.86	0.78	0.87	30.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow	Average Delay		Average Back Pedestrian	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate						
D44	Cauth Ctana 1	ped/h	sec	1005	ped	m	0.00	0.00						
P11	South Stage 1	53	56.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90						

P12	South Stage 2	53	61.4	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94
P1S	South Slip/Bypass Lane	53	12.9	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.59	0.59
	Crossing							
P2	East Full Crossing	53	35.1	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
P2S	East Slip/Bypass Lane	53	13.3	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.44	0.44
	Crossing							
P31	North Stage 1	53	59.6	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92
P32	North Stage 2	53	26.8	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.87	0.87
P3S	North Slip/Bypass Lane	53	0.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.11	0.11
	Crossing							
P4	West Full Crossing	53	51.5	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.86	0.86
P4S	West Slip/Bypass Lane	53	17.6	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.67	0.67
	Crossing							
All Pe	destrians	526	33.6	LOS D			0.70	0.70

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 3:16:30 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base
AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - AM Peak.sip8

V Site: 2 [2. Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base AM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	nce -	Vehic	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Mulgo	oa Road (S)	)											
2	T1	1399	2.0	1399	2.0	0.651	5.4	LOS A	6.8	48.4	0.23	0.00	0.38	51.0
3	R2	368	2.0	368	2.0	1.532	508.4	LOS F	87.7	624.3	1.00	5.27	17.43	3.4
Appro	ach	1767	2.0	1767	2.0	1.532	110.3	NA	87.7	624.3	0.39	1.10	3.93	12.9
East:	Union I	Road (E)												
4	L2	204	2.6	204	2.6	0.228	6.2	LOS A	0.9	6.5	0.41	0.64	0.41	47.6
Appro	ach	204	2.6	204	2.6	0.228	6.2	LOS A	0.9	6.5	0.41	0.64	0.41	47.6
North	: Mulgo	a Road (N)												
7	L2	327	1.9	327	1.9	0.179	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	38.5
8	T1	1245	2.0	1245	2.0	0.216	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.0
Appro	ach	1573	2.0	1572 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.216	1.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.12	0.00	58.0
All Ve	hicles	3544	2.0	3544	2.0	1.532	55.9	NA	87.7	624.3	0.22	0.64	1.98	21.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 3:16:30 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base
AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - AM Peak.sip8

♥ Site: 3 [3. High St and Civic Roundabout]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base AM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

High and Civic Roundabout 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	High S	t (E)												
5	T1	318	0.0	314	0.0	0.111	2.1	LOS A	0.5	3.2	0.07	0.27	0.07	42.0
6	R2	31	0.0	30	0.0	0.111	7.5	LOS A	0.5	3.2	0.07	0.32	0.07	50.6
Appro	ach	348	0.0	<mark>344</mark> N	0.0	0.111	2.5	LOSA	0.5	3.2	0.07	0.28	0.07	43.8
North	: Civic I	PI (N)												
7	L2	12	0.0	12	0.0	0.033	4.2	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.49	0.61	0.49	41.9
9	R2	21	0.0	21	0.0	0.033	9.4	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.49	0.61	0.49	41.9
Appro	ach	33	0.0	33	0.0	0.033	7.6	LOSA	0.1	1.0	0.49	0.61	0.49	41.9
West:	High S	St (W)												
10	L2	74	0.0	74	0.0	0.275	2.6	LOS A	1.4	9.9	0.08	0.25	0.08	48.0
11	T1	787	0.0	787	0.0	0.275	2.1	LOS A	1.4	9.9	0.09	0.25	0.09	40.7
Appro	ach	861	0.0	861	0.0	0.275	2.2	LOSA	1.4	9.9	0.09	0.25	0.09	42.5
All Ve	hicles	1242	0.0	1237 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.275	2.4	LOSA	1.4	9.9	0.09	0.26	0.09	42.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 3:16:30 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - AM Peak.sip8

Site: 4 [4. High St and Worth St]

→

→

→

Network: N101 [Network

Model - 2026 Future Base AM

Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban

Apt)]

Apt)]

High and Worth 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With U

No Link Road, With Urban Apartments

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	/ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back		Prop.	Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sou	th: Worth	n St (S)												
1	L2	404	1.8	394	1.8	0.632	30.6	LOS C	13.8	97.9	0.78	0.79	0.78	7.6
2	T1	136	1.6	132	1.5	0.314	21.9	LOS B	8.6	61.2	0.67	0.65	0.67	31.9
3	R2	105	2.0	103	2.0	0.314	26.2	LOS B	8.6	61.2	0.67	0.65	0.67	31.6
App	roach	645	1.8	<mark>629</mark> N	<sup>1</sup> 1.8	0.632	28.1	LOS B	13.8	97.9	0.74	0.74	0.74	20.2
Eas	t: High S	t (E)												
4	L2	35	1.8	35	1.8	0.268	35.2	LOS C	7.2	51.2	0.77	0.66	0.77	27.0
5	T1	312	2.0	312	2.0	0.268	30.6	LOS C	7.4	52.6	0.77	0.65	0.77	27.3
6	R2	31	2.0	31	2.0	0.124	42.9	LOS D	1.4	10.1	0.81	0.72	0.81	31.3
App	roach	377	2.0	377	2.0	0.268	32.0	LOS C	7.4	52.6	0.77	0.65	0.77	27.8
Nort	h: Worth	St (N)												
7	L2	1	2.0	1	2.0	0.014	17.9	LOS B	0.4	2.9	0.47	0.34	0.47	42.2
8	T1	14	2.0	14	2.0	0.014	13.4	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.47	0.34	0.47	36.6
9	R2	16	2.0	16	2.0	0.045	21.4	LOS B	0.4	3.1	0.70	0.65	0.70	31.8
App	roach	31	2.0	31	2.0	0.045	17.7	LOS B	0.4	3.1	0.59	0.50	0.59	34.3
Wes	t: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	118	2.0	118	2.0	0.223	36.1	LOS C	5.0	35.7	0.77	0.74	0.77	28.9
11	T1	495	2.0	495	2.0	0.378	31.9	LOS C	10.9	77.5	0.80	0.68	0.80	30.2
12	R2	221	2.0	221	2.0	0.716	49.4	LOS D	12.4	88.0	0.96	0.87	1.02	10.2
App	roach	834	2.0	834	2.0	0.716	37.2	LOS C	12.4	88.0	0.84	0.74	0.86	25.7
All \	/ehicles	1886	1.9	1870 <sup>N</sup>	1 1.9	0.716	32.7	LOS C	13.8	97.9	0.79	0.72	0.80	24.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

MovDemandAverageLevel of Average Back of QueueProp.IDDescriptionFlowDelayService PedestrianDistance Queuedped/hsecpedm	rians					- Pedestrians	ement Performance -	Move
ned/n sec ned m	Flow Delay Service Pedestrian Distance Queued Stop R	estrian Distance	Pedestri		Delay	Flow	Description	
P1 South Full Crossing 53 32.3 LOS D 0.1 0.1 0.73	p-ann		'	1 OS D			South Full Crossing	D1

P2 P3 P3S	East Full Crossing North Full Crossing North Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53 53 53	18.7 33.8 27.4	LOS B LOS D LOS C	0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1	0.56 0.75 0.68	0.56 0.75 0.68
P4 P4S	West Full Crossing West Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53 53	26.1 54.3	LOS C LOS E	0.1 0.2	0.1 0.2	0.66 0.95	0.66 0.95
All Ped	destrians	316	32.1	LOS D			0.72	0.72

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 3:16:30 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base
AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - AM Peak.sip8



Site: 5 [5. Worth St and Union Ln]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base AM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

Worth St and Union Ln 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Mov	/ement	Performa	ınce -	Vehicl	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No. <i>F</i> Cycles	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sou	th: Worth	` '												
1	L2	76	2.8	73	2.8	0.040	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	27.5
2	T1	442	2.1	426	2.1	0.221	0.0	LOS A	2.3	16.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
App	roach	518	2.2	499 <sup>N</sup>	2.2	0.221	0.6	NA	2.3	16.1	0.00	0.08	0.00	44.3
East	t: Union l	Ln (E)												
4	L2	18	2.0	18	2.0	0.068	4.0	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.35	0.51	0.35	35.0
5	T1	21	1.9	21	1.9	0.068	9.0	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.35	0.51	0.35	35.0
6	R2	25	2.0	25	2.0	0.138	11.7	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.64	0.81	0.64	31.9
App	roach	64	2.0	64	2.0	0.138	8.7	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.46	0.63	0.46	33.7
Nort	h: Worth	St (N)												
8	T1	143	2.0	143	2.0	0.093	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
9	R2	100	1.9	100	1.9	0.111	6.7	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.40	0.64	0.40	22.0
App	roach	243	2.0	243	2.0	0.111	2.8	NA	0.4	2.9	0.17	0.26	0.17	32.5
Wes	t: Union	Ln (W)												
10	L2	76	0.0	76	0.0	0.169	6.1	LOS A	0.7	4.8	0.54	0.72	0.54	19.7
12	R2	32	0.0	32	0.0	0.169	12.6	LOS A	0.7	4.8	0.54	0.72	0.54	19.7
App	roach	107	0.0	107	0.0	0.169	8.0	LOSA	0.7	4.8	0.54	0.72	0.54	19.7
All V	/ehicles	933	1.9	913 <sup>N</sup>	1.9	0.221	2.6	NA	2.3	16.1	0.14	0.24	0.14	34.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 3:16:30 PM Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - AM Peak.sip8

Site: 6 [6. Worth St and Union Rd]

→

→

→

Network: N101 [Network

Model - 2026 Future Base AM

Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban

Apt)]

Apt)]

Worth St and Union Rd 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehicl	es									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.		Level of		of Queue	Prop.	Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	venicies	Distance	Queuea	Stop Rate	Cycles S	speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Worth	n St (S)												
1	L2	22	2.0	22	2.0	0.390	68.8	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	17.8
2	T1	20	2.0	20	2.0	0.390	64.2	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	17.8
3	R2	13	2.0	13	2.0	0.390	68.8	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	25.8
Appr	oach	55	2.0	55	2.0	0.390	67.2	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	20.1
East:	Union	Rd (E)												
4	L2	4	2.0	4	2.0	0.145	13.6	LOS A	4.2	29.9	0.41	0.35	0.41	44.1
5	T1	174	2.0	174	2.0	0.145	9.0	LOS A	4.2	29.9	0.41	0.35	0.41	40.2
6	R2	423	2.0	423	2.0	0.743	23.3	LOS B	18.0	127.9	0.71	0.80	0.72	30.7
Appr	oach	601	2.0	601	2.0	0.743	19.1	LOS B	18.0	127.9	0.62	0.67	0.63	33.1
North	n: Worth	St (N)												
7	L2	131	0.0	131	0.0	0.699	68.3	LOS E	8.5	59.2	1.00	0.84	1.09	18.6
8	T1	8	0.0	8	0.0	0.068	54.7	LOS D	0.9	6.3	0.91	0.67	0.91	20.9
9	R2	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.068	58.6	LOS E	0.9	6.3	0.91	0.67	0.91	3.8
Appr	oach	146	0.0	146	0.0	0.699	67.0	LOS E	8.5	59.2	0.99	0.82	1.07	18.3
West	:: Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	111	1.9	90	1.9	0.068	7.4	LOS A	1.4	9.6	0.25	0.58	0.25	19.7
11	T1	289	2.2	236	2.2	0.210	9.3	LOS A	5.8	41.3	0.42	0.37	0.42	40.6
12	R2	5	0.0	4	0.0	0.210	13.2	LOSA	5.8	41.3	0.42	0.37	0.42	39.6
Appr	oach	405	2.1	330 <sup>N</sup>	2.1	0.210	8.8	LOSA	5.8	41.3	0.37	0.43	0.37	38.9
All Ve	ehicles	1207	1.8	1132 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	0.743	24.6	LOS B	18.0	127.9	0.61	0.62	0.63	29.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians												
Mov ID Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay		Average Back Pedestrian	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate					
P1 South Full Crossing	53	sec 9.6	LOS A	ped 0.1	0.1	0.39	0.39					

P2	East Full Crossing	53	57.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94
P3	North Full Crossing	53	11.7	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.42	0.42
P4	West Full Crossing	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
All F	edestrians	211	34.5	LOS D			0.68	0.68

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 3:16:30 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - AM Peak.sip8



**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base AM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

**Urban Apartments** 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Mov	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Arrival	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective A	Aver. No.A	verage
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	ast: Union Lane (E)													
6	R2	6	0.0	6	0.0	0.003	5.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.74	0.00	41.5
Appro	oach	6	0.0	6	0.0	0.003	5.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.74	0.00	41.5
North	: Urbar	n Apartmer	nt Acces	SS										
7	L2	56	0.0	56	0.0	0.030	8.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.00	0.00	47.7
Appro	oach	56	0.0	56	0.0	0.030	8.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.00	0.00	47.7
All Ve	hicles	62	0.0	62	0.0	0.030	7.8	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.97	0.00	46.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 3:16:30 PM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - AM Peak.sip8

Site: 1 [1. High St and Mulgoa Rd]

→ Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base PM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

High Street and Mulgoa Road 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Performa	nce -	Vehicl	es									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.		Level of		of Queue		Effective A		5
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Mulg	oa Road (S	)											
1	L2	246	2.0	246	2.0	0.384	27.9	LOS B	9.2	65.6	0.80	0.78	0.80	35.0
2	T1	1014	2.0	1014	2.0	0.777	57.5	LOS E	22.4	159.7	1.00	0.90	1.06	24.9
3	R2	73	2.0	73	2.0	0.278	64.1	LOS E	4.5	32.0	0.93	0.76	0.93	8.6
Appr	oach	1333	2.0	1333	2.0	0.777	52.4	LOS D	22.4	159.7	0.96	0.87	1.00	25.6
East	: High S	Street (E)												
4	L2	127	2.5	127	2.5	0.180	35.7	LOS C	5.6	40.2	0.70	0.74	0.70	11.3
5	T1	566	2.0	563	2.0	0.769	59.2	LOS E	20.0	142.5	0.99	0.89	1.06	23.8
6	R2	365	2.0	363	2.0	0.772	73.7	LOS F	12.7	90.7	1.00	0.88	1.12	20.6
Appr	oach	1059	2.1	1053 <sup>N</sup>	2.1	0.772	61.3	LOS E	20.0	142.5	0.96	0.87	1.04	21.8
Nortl	h: Castl	ereagh Roa	d (N)											
7	L2	167	2.0	167	2.0	0.125	7.6	LOS A	1.8	12.6	0.25	0.62	0.25	48.3
8	T1	1403	2.0	1403	2.0	0.666	40.5	LOS C	26.7	190.1	0.91	0.80	0.91	26.4
9	R2	667	2.0	667	2.0	0.773	36.7	LOS C	13.7	97.7	0.99	0.87	1.05	37.6
Appr	oach	2238	2.0	2238	2.0	0.773	36.9	LOS C	26.7	190.1	0.88	0.81	0.90	31.5
Wes	t: High S	Street (W)												
10	L2	654	2.0	654	2.0	0.373	18.9	LOS B	8.8	62.4	0.68	0.75	0.68	45.4
11	T1	269	2.0	269	2.0	0.346	53.3	LOS D	7.9	56.5	0.91	0.75	0.91	22.5
12	R2	162	2.0	162	2.0	0.344	66.6	LOS E	5.1	36.6	0.95	0.77	0.95	19.3
Appr	oach	1085	2.0	1085	2.0	0.373	34.6	LOS C	8.8	62.4	0.77	0.76	0.77	35.2
All V	ehicles	5715	2.0	5709 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.777	44.6	LOS D	26.7	190.1	0.89	0.82	0.92	28.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians												
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay		Average Back Pedestrian	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate					
P11	South Stage 1	53	53.3	LOS E	ped 0.2	0.2	0.87	0.87					

P12	South Stage 2	53	58.6	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92
P1S	South Slip/Bypass Lane	53	10.9	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.55	0.55
	Crossing							
P2	East Full Crossing	53	41.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.77	0.77
P2S	East Slip/Bypass Lane	53	16.6	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.49	0.49
	Crossing							
P31	North Stage 1	53	55.9	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.89	0.89
P32	North Stage 2	53	27.0	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.87	0.87
P3S	North Slip/Bypass Lane	53	0.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.11	0.11
	Crossing							
P4	West Full Crossing	53	57.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.91	0.91
P4S	West Slip/Bypass Lane	53	20.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
	Crossing							-
All Pe	destrians	526	34.3	LOS D			0.71	0.71

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 4:00:42 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base
PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - PM Peak.sip8

V Site: 2 [2. Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base PM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ince -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles		Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	ı: Mulgo	oa Road (S	5)											
2	T1	1324	2.0	1324	2.0	0.388	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
3	R2	159	2.0	159	2.0	0.729	39.2	LOS C	3.9	27.8	0.94	1.21	1.86	26.5
Appro	ach	1483	2.0	1483	2.0	0.729	4.3	NA	3.9	27.8	0.10	0.13	0.20	52.7
East:	Union I	Road (E)												
4	L2	167	2.5	167	2.5	0.210	7.0	LOS A	0.8	5.7	0.45	0.68	0.45	46.8
Appro	ach	167	2.5	167	2.5	0.210	7.0	LOS A	0.8	5.7	0.45	0.68	0.45	46.8
North	: Mulgo	a Road (N	)											
7	L2	116	2.0	116	2.0	0.063	5.6	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.00	0.58	0.00	38.5
8	T1	1591	2.0	1590	2.0	0.275	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	ach	1706	2.0	1706	2.0	0.275	0.4	NA	0.1	0.4	0.00	0.04	0.00	59.3
All Ve	hicles	3357	2.0	3356 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.729	2.4	NA	3.9	27.8	0.07	0.11	0.11	55.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 4:00:42 PM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base
PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - PM Peak.sip8

♥ Site: 3 [3. High St and Civic Roundabout]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base PM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

High and Civic Roundabout 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	High St	t (E)												
5	T1	959	2.0	953	2.0	0.420	2.4	LOS A	1.9	13.5	0.20	0.29	0.20	40.6
6	R2	28	0.0	28	0.0	0.420	7.8	LOS A	1.9	13.5	0.20	0.30	0.20	50.5
Appro	oach	987	1.9	982 <sup>N</sup>	1.9	0.420	2.6	LOS A	1.9	13.5	0.20	0.29	0.20	41.3
North	: Civic I	PI (N)												
7	L2	52	2.0	52	2.0	0.158	3.7	LOS A	0.6	4.5	0.42	0.62	0.42	42.1
9	R2	100	2.0	100	2.0	0.158	8.9	LOS A	0.6	4.5	0.42	0.62	0.42	42.1
Appro	oach	152	2.0	152	2.0	0.158	7.1	LOSA	0.6	4.5	0.42	0.62	0.42	42.1
West	: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	74	2.0	74	2.0	0.193	2.6	LOS A	0.9	6.7	0.09	0.26	0.09	48.0
11	T1	452	2.0	452	2.0	0.193	2.1	LOS A	0.9	6.7	0.09	0.25	0.09	40.7
Appro	oach	525	2.0	525	2.0	0.193	2.2	LOSA	0.9	6.7	0.09	0.25	0.09	43.3
All Ve	hicles	1664	2.0	1658 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.420	2.9	LOSA	1.9	13.5	0.19	0.31	0.19	42.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 4:00:42 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to Tf\NSW RFIs\2026 Future Base PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - PM Peak.sip8

Site: 4 [4. High St and Worth St]

→ Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base PM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

High and Worth 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With

No Link Road, With Urban Apartments

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles  Mov Turn Demand Flows Arrival Flows Deg. Average Level of 95% Back of Queue Prop. Effective Aver. No. Average													
Mov	Turn					Deg.		Level of		of Queue		Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	venicies	Distance	Queuea	Stop Rate	Cycles S	peea
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South		h St (S)												
1	L2	211	2.0	204	2.0	0.726	58.8	LOS E	11.9	84.7	1.00	0.86	1.08	4.2
2	T1	111	1.9	107	1.9	0.450	47.9	LOS D	7.4	52.2	0.94	0.77	0.94	23.1
3	R2	33	0.0	32	0.0	0.450	52.1	LOS D	7.4	52.2	0.94	0.77	0.94	22.9
Appro	oach	354	1.8	<mark>342</mark> <sup>N</sup>	1.8	0.726	54.8	LOS D	11.9	84.7	0.97	0.82	1.02	13.5
East:	High S	St (E)												
4	L2	103	2.0	103	2.0	0.526	32.1	LOS C	13.7	97.5	0.79	0.73	0.79	28.1
5	T1	632	2.0	632	2.0	0.526	26.7	LOS B	17.6	125.5	0.78	0.70	0.78	28.9
6	R2	211	2.0	211	2.0	0.458	33.3	LOS C	9.1	65.1	0.78	0.79	0.78	34.1
Appro	oach	945	2.0	945	2.0	0.526	28.8	LOS C	17.6	125.5	0.78	0.72	0.78	30.5
North	: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.421	28.3	LOS B	7.5	53.3	0.71	0.60	0.71	37.8
8	T1	184	2.0	184	2.0	0.421	23.8	LOS B	7.5	53.3	0.71	0.60	0.71	30.6
9	R2	309	2.0	309	2.0	0.599	29.7	LOS C	11.8	83.9	0.91	0.82	0.91	27.9
Appro	oach	495	2.0	495	2.0	0.599	27.5	LOS B	11.8	83.9	0.84	0.74	0.84	28.9
West	: High \$	St (W)												
10	L2	203	2.0	203	2.0	0.471	41.7	LOS C	9.8	69.7	0.87	0.80	0.87	27.1
11	T1	255	2.0	255	2.0	0.153	21.8	LOS B	4.4	31.7	0.64	0.52	0.64	34.5
12	R2	232	2.0	232	2.0	1.117	194.3	LOS F	28.9	205.4	1.00	1.48	2.31	3.0
Appro	oach	689	2.0	689	2.0	1.117	85.6	LOS F	28.9	205.4	0.83	0.92	1.27	14.8
All Ve	hicles	2483	2.0	2472 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	1.117	48.0	LOS D	28.9	205.4	0.83	0.80	0.96	21.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow	Average Delay		Average Back Pedestrian	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate						
		ped/h	sec		ped	m								
P1	South Full Crossing	53	24.8	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.64	0.64						

P2 P3 P3S	East Full Crossing North Full Crossing North Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing West Full Crossing West Slip/Bypass Lane	53 53 53 53	25.4 26.1 20.5 52.4	LOS C LOS C LOS C	0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1	0.65 0.66 0.58	0.65 0.66 0.58 0.94
P4S	Crossing	53	36.9	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.79	0.79
All Pe	edestrians	316	31.0	LOS D			0.71	0.71

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 4:00:42 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base
PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - PM Peak.sip8



🥯 Site: 5 [5. Worth St and Union Ln]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base PM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

Worth St and Union Ln 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Mov	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	h: Worth	h St (S)												
1	L2	75	1.5	72	1.5	0.039	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	27.5
2	T1	311	2.0	299	2.0	0.156	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
Appro	oach	385	1.9	<mark>371</mark> <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	0.156	0.7	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.10	0.00	42.7
East:	Union	Ln (E)												
4	L2	18	0.0	18	0.0	0.106	4.6	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.51	0.62	0.51	34.5
5	T1	26	0.0	26	0.0	0.106	9.4	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.51	0.62	0.51	34.5
6	R2	25	0.0	25	0.0	0.100	10.7	LOS A	0.2	1.6	0.61	0.79	0.61	32.5
Appro	oach	69	0.0	69	0.0	0.106	8.6	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.54	0.68	0.54	33.7
North	n: Worth	n St (N)												
8	T1	382	2.0	368	2.0	0.149	0.3	LOS A	13.8	97.9	0.07	0.08	0.07	42.4
9	R2	116	1.5	112	1.5	0.149	6.0	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.30	0.34	0.30	27.9
Appro	oach	498	1.9	<mark>481</mark> N	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	0.149	1.7	NA	13.8	97.9	0.12	0.14	0.12	37.7
West	: Union	Ln (W)												
10	L2	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.091	5.2	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.63	0.82	0.63	14.4
12	R2	32	0.0	32	0.0	0.091	12.6	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.63	0.82	0.63	14.4
Appro	oach	34	0.0	34	0.0	0.091	12.1	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.63	0.82	0.63	14.4
All Ve	ehicles	986	1.7	<mark>955</mark> N	<sup>1</sup> 1.8	0.156	2.2	NA	13.8	97.9	0.12	0.19	0.12	35.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 4:00:42 PM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - PM Peak.sip8

Site: 6 [6. Worth St and Union Rd]

→ Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base PM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

Worth St and Union Rd 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	Perform	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.	Average	Level of		of Queue		Effective A		5
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	venicies	Distance	Queuea	Stop Rate	Cycles S	speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South		h St (S)												
1	L2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.329	68.2	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	18.1
2	T1	28	0.0	28	0.0	0.329	63.6	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	18.1
3	R2	4	0.0	4	0.0	0.329	68.2	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	26.1
Appr	oach	48	0.0	48	0.0	0.329	65.5	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	19.0
East:	Union	Rd (E)												
4	L2	33	0.0	33	0.0	0.190	22.9	LOS B	6.1	43.2	0.58	0.53	0.58	39.3
5	T1	147	2.1	147	2.1	0.190	18.3	LOS B	6.1	43.2	0.58	0.53	0.58	33.0
6	R2	360	2.0	360	2.0	1.058	151.5	LOS F	42.2	300.8	1.00	1.30	1.89	9.7
Appr	oach	540	1.9	540	1.9	1.058	107.4	LOS F	42.2	300.8	0.86	1.04	1.45	13.2
North	n: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	421	2.0	409	2.0	0.903	69.6	LOS E	11.5	81.6	1.00	0.99	1.25	18.3
8	T1	32	0.0	31	0.0	0.068	37.6	LOS C	1.6	11.3	0.78	0.60	0.78	25.8
9	R2	4	0.0	4	0.0	0.068	41.4	LOS C	1.6	11.3	0.78	0.60	0.78	5.4
Appr	oach	457	1.8	<mark>444</mark> N	1.8	0.903	67.1	LOS E	11.5	81.6	0.98	0.96	1.21	18.7
West	t: Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	153	2.1	153	2.1	0.146	13.3	LOS A	4.0	28.5	0.42	0.63	0.42	13.4
11	T1	316	2.0	316	2.0	0.450	19.7	LOS B	11.5	81.6	0.63	0.56	0.63	33.6
12	R2	11	0.0	11	0.0	0.450	24.0	LOS B	11.5	81.6	0.64	0.56	0.64	32.8
Appr	oach	479	2.0	479	2.0	0.450	17.7	LOS B	11.5	81.6	0.56	0.58	0.56	31.1
All Ve	ehicles	1524	1.9	<mark>1511</mark> <sup>N</sup>	1.9	1.058	65.8	LOS E	42.2	300.8	0.80	0.86	1.08	17.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians												
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow	Average Delay		Average Back Pedestrian	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate					
		ped/h	sec		ped	m							
P1	South Full Crossing	53	18.4	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.53	0.53					

P2	East Full Crossing	53	40.9	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.79	0.79
P3	North Full Crossing	53	21.1	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.57	0.57
P4	West Full Crossing	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
All	Pedestrians	211	34.9	LOS D			0.71	0.71

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 4:00:42 PM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSWNTOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base
PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - PM Peak.sip8



→ Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Future Base PM Peak (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt)]

Urban Apartments 2026 Future Base No Link Road, With Urban Apartments Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehicl	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows			Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	Union I	Lane (E)												
6	R2	49	0.0	49	0.0	0.026	5.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.74	0.00	41.5
Appro	oach	49	0.0	49	0.0	0.026	5.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.74	0.00	41.5
North	: Urban	Apartmen	t Acces	ss										
7	L2	13	0.0	13	0.0	0.007	8.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.00	0.00	47.7
Appro	ach	13	0.0	13	0.0	0.007	8.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.00	0.00	47.7
All Ve	hicles	62	0.0	<mark>61</mark> <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.026	6.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.80	0.00	42.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Tuesday, 23 June 2020 4:00:42 PM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Future Base

PM Peak - TBC\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Future Base (No Link Rd, With Urban Apt) - PM Peak.sip8

Site: 1 [1. High St and Mulgoa Rd]

High Street and Mulgoa Road East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)

One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Мо	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehicl	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	9
		veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Mulg	oa Road (S	S)											
1	L2	158	2.0	158	2.0	0.224	23.4	LOS B	5.0	35.2	0.71	0.74	0.71	37.5
2	T1	1131	2.0	1131	2.0	0.707	49.4	LOS D	23.2	165.0	0.96	0.83	0.96	27.2
3	R2	111	1.9	111	1.9	0.497	69.0	LOS E	7.2	51.4	0.98	0.79	0.98	8.0
Аррі	oach	1399	2.0	1399	2.0	0.707	48.0	LOS D	23.2	165.0	0.94	0.82	0.94	26.6
East	: High S	Street (E)												
4	L2	49	2.1	49	2.1	0.080	39.2	LOS C	2.2	16.0	0.71	0.71	0.71	10.5
5	T1	222	1.4	219	1.4	0.328	55.2	LOS D	6.6	46.9	0.92	0.74	0.92	24.8
6	R2	148	1.4	147	1.4	0.349	68.6	LOS E	4.7	33.5	0.96	0.77	0.96	21.6
Аррі	oach	420	1.5	415 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.5	0.349	58.0	LOS E	6.6	46.9	0.91	0.74	0.91	22.6
Nort	h: Castl	ereagh Roa	ad (N)											
7	L2	288	1.9	288	1.9	0.229	10.5	LOS A	5.4	38.2	0.37	0.66	0.37	45.0
8	T1	1200	2.0	1200	2.0	0.483	31.2	LOS C	19.6	139.2	0.78	0.68	0.78	30.3
9	R2	593	2.0	593	2.0	0.708	33.7	LOS C	10.4	74.1	0.98	0.84	0.98	38.7
Аррі	oach	2081	2.0	2081	2.0	0.708	29.0	LOS C	19.6	139.2	0.78	0.72	0.78	34.8
Wes	t: High \$	Street (W)												
10	L2	887	2.0	887	2.0	0.547	23.7	LOS B	14.7	104.8	0.79	0.81	0.81	42.8
11	T1	478	2.0	478	2.0	0.717	61.9	LOS E	15.8	112.5	1.00	0.86	1.03	20.5
12	R2	303	1.9	303	1.9	0.724	73.6	LOS F	10.5	74.8	1.00	0.85	1.09	18.0
Аррі	oach	1668	2.0	1668	2.0	0.724	43.7	LOS D	15.8	112.5	0.89	0.83	0.92	31.1
All V	ehicles	5568	2.0	5563 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.724	40.4	LOS C	23.2	165.0	0.86	0.78	0.87	30.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	ement Performance - Pe	edestrians						
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate
P11	South Stage 1	53	56.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90
P12	South Stage 2	53	61.4	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94

P1S	South Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	12.9	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.59	0.59
P2	East Full Crossing	53	35.1	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
P2S	East Slip/Bypass Lane	53	13.3	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.44	0.44
	Crossing							
P31	North Stage 1	53	59.6	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92
P32	North Stage 2	53	26.8	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.87	0.87
P3S	North Slip/Bypass Lane	53	0.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.11	0.11
	Crossing							
P4	West Full Crossing	53	51.5	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.86	0.86
P4S	West Slip/Bypass Lane	53	17.6	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.67	0.67
	Crossing							
All Pe	destrians	526	33.6	LOS D			0.70	0.70

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to Tf\NSW RFIs\2026
Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

V Site: 2 [2. Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Development AM Peak1

Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ınce -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Mulgo	oa Road (S	5)											
2	T1	1404	2.0	1404	2.0	0.660	5.5	LOS A	6.7	47.7	0.22	0.00	0.36	50.9
3	R2	374	2.0	374	2.0	1.613	580.2	LOS F	96.5	686.6	1.00	5.50	18.42	3.0
Appro	oach	1778	2.0	1778	2.0	1.613	126.3	NA	96.5	686.6	0.38	1.16	4.16	11.6
East:	Union	Road (E)												
4	L2	348	1.5	348	1.5	0.387	7.0	LOS A	2.4	16.8	0.55	0.74	0.64	46.8
Appro	oach	348	1.5	348	1.5	0.387	7.0	LOSA	2.4	16.8	0.55	0.74	0.64	46.8
North	: Mulgo	oa Road (N	)											
7	L2	351	1.8	350	1.8	0.191	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	38.5
8	T1	1252	2.0	1251	2.0	0.217	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.0
Appro	oach	1602	2.0	1602	2.0	0.217	1.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.13	0.00	57.8
All Ve	hicles	3728	1.9	3728	1.9	1.613	61.4	NA	96.5	686.6	0.23	0.67	2.04	20.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akcelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026

Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

₩ Site: 3 [3. High St and Civic Roundabout]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Development AM Peak1

High and Civic Roundabout East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Mov	vement	Performa	ınce -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	' Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective / Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sou	th: Link f													
1	L2	94	0.0	93	0.0	0.173	2.9	LOS A	0.8	5.3	0.38	0.57	0.38	27.8
2	T1	4	0.0	4	0.0	0.173	2.8	LOS A	8.0	5.3	0.38	0.57	0.38	47.3
3	R2	94	0.0	93	0.0	0.173	7.9	LOS A	0.8	5.3	0.38	0.57	0.38	27.8
App	roach	192	0.0	190 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.173	5.4	LOSA	0.8	5.3	0.38	0.57	0.38	29.2
East	t: High S	t (E)												
5	T1	309	0.0	305	0.0	0.109	2.1	LOS A	0.5	3.2	0.07	0.27	0.07	41.9
6	R2	29	0.0	29	0.0	0.109	7.5	LOS A	0.4	3.1	0.07	0.32	0.07	50.6
App	roach	339	0.0	334 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.109	2.5	LOSA	0.5	3.2	0.07	0.27	0.07	43.8
Nort	h: Civic	PI (N)												
7	L2	12	0.0	12	0.0	0.036	4.6	LOS A	0.2	1.2	0.54	0.64	0.54	41.6
9	R2	21	0.0	21	0.0	0.036	9.8	LOS A	0.2	1.2	0.54	0.64	0.54	41.6
App	roach	33	0.0	33	0.0	0.036	8.0	LOSA	0.2	1.2	0.54	0.64	0.54	41.6
Wes	st: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	74	0.0	74	0.0	0.309	3.0	LOS A	1.5	10.8	0.21	0.30	0.21	47.3
11	T1	787	0.0	787	0.0	0.309	2.5	LOSA	1.5	10.8	0.22	0.30	0.22	38.5
App	roach	861	0.0	861	0.0	0.309	2.6	LOSA	1.5	10.8	0.22	0.30	0.22	40.6
All ∖	/ehicles	1424	0.0	<mark>1418</mark> <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.309	3.1	LOSA	1.5	10.8	0.21	0.34	0.21	40.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\\$4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Development AM Peak \200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes) sip8

Site: 4 [4. High St and Worth St]

High and Worth

East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)

One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	: Perform	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.	Average	Level of		of Queue	Prop.	Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Wort	h St (S)												
1	L2	384	2.0	374	2.0	0.636	32.6	LOS C	13.7	97.9	0.80	0.80	0.80	7.2
2	T1	134	1.9	130	2.0	0.328	24.0	LOS B	8.9	63.2	0.70	0.67	0.70	30.9
3	R2	104	2.0	101	2.1	0.328	28.3	LOS B	8.9	63.2	0.70	0.67	0.70	30.6
Appı	oach	622	2.0	605 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.636	30.0	LOS C	13.7	97.9	0.76	0.75	0.76	19.6
East	: High S	St (E)												
4	L2	35	1.8	35	1.8	0.257	32.9	LOS C	7.1	50.8	0.74	0.64	0.74	28.0
5	T1	322	1.9	322	1.9	0.257	28.3	LOS B	7.3	52.1	0.74	0.63	0.74	28.2
6	R2	31	2.0	31	2.0	0.122	41.2	LOS C	1.4	9.8	0.79	0.72	0.79	31.7
Аррі	oach	387	1.9	387	1.9	0.257	29.7	LOS C	7.3	52.1	0.75	0.64	0.75	28.6
Nort	h: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	1	2.0	1	2.0	0.015	19.5	LOS B	0.4	3.1	0.49	0.35	0.49	41.5
8	T1	14	2.0	14	2.0	0.015	15.0	LOS B	0.4	3.1	0.49	0.35	0.49	35.5
9	R2	16	2.0	16	2.0	0.047	23.0	LOS B	0.5	3.3	0.72	0.65	0.72	31.0
Аррі	oach	31	2.0	31	2.0	0.047	19.3	LOS B	0.5	3.3	0.61	0.51	0.61	33.4
Wes	t: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	118	2.0	118	2.0	0.208	33.8	LOS C	4.8	34.2	0.74	0.73	0.74	29.7
11	T1	541	1.8	541	1.8	0.385	29.9	LOS C	11.6	82.3	0.79	0.67	0.79	31.0
12	R2	237	1.9	237	1.9	0.716	47.2	LOS D	13.0	92.6	0.95	0.87	1.00	10.5
Аррі	oach	896	1.9	895 <sup>N</sup>	1.9	0.716	35.0	LOS C	13.0	92.6	0.82	0.73	0.84	26.4
All V	ehicles	1936	1.9	1918 <sup>N</sup>	1.9	0.716	32.1	LOS C	13.7	97.9	0.78	0.71	0.79	25.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	ement Performance - Ped	estrians						
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate
P1	South Full Crossing	53	30.2	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
P2	East Full Crossing	53	20.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.58	0.58

P3 North Full Crossing P3S North Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing P4 West Full Crossing P4S West Slip/Bypass Lane	53	31.6	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.73	0.73
	53	25.4	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.65	0.65
	53	28.1	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.68	0.68
	53	54.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95
Crossing All Pedestrians	316	31.7	LOS D			0.72	0.72

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to Tf\NSW RFIs\2026

Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Site: 5 [5. Worth St and Union Ln]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Development AM Peak1

Worth St and Union Ln East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total			l Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Worth	n St (S)												
1	L2	76	1.9	73	2.0	0.040	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	27.5
2	T1	458	1.9	441	2.0	0.229	0.0	LOS A	2.3	16.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
Appro	ach	534	1.9	514 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.229	0.6	NA	2.3	16.6	0.00	0.07	0.00	44.4
East:	Union I	Ln (E)												
4	L2	18	2.0	18	2.0	0.067	4.0	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.38	0.52	0.38	34.8
5	T1	21	1.9	21	1.9	0.067	9.5	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.38	0.52	0.38	34.8
6	R2	25	2.0	25	2.0	0.126	10.6	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.60	0.79	0.60	32.5
Appro	ach	64	2.0	64	2.0	0.126	8.4	LOSA	0.3	1.8	0.47	0.63	0.47	33.9
North	: Worth	St (N)												
8	T1	159	1.8	159	1.8	0.093	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
9	R2	100	1.9	100	1.9	0.113	6.8	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.41	0.65	0.41	21.5
Appro	ach	259	1.9	259	1.9	0.113	2.6	NA	0.4	2.9	0.16	0.25	0.16	32.9
All Ve	hicles	857	1.9	837 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.229	1.8	NA	2.3	16.6	0.09	0.17	0.09	37.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Development AM Peak \200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes) sip8

Site: 6 [6. Worth St and Union Rd]

Worth St and Union Rd East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)

One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	: Perform	ance -	Vehic	es									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.	Average	Level of		of Queue	Prop.	Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	venicies	Distance	Queuea	Stop Rate	Cycles S	peed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Wort	h St (S)												
1	L2	22	2.0	22	2.0	0.390	68.8	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	17.8
2	T1	20	2.0	20	2.0	0.390	64.2	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	17.8
3	R2	13	2.0	13	2.0	0.390	68.8	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	25.8
Appr	oach	55	2.0	55	2.0	0.390	67.2	LOS E	3.5	24.6	0.99	0.75	0.99	20.1
East	: Union	Rd (E)												
4	L2	4	2.0	4	2.0	0.142	12.8	LOS A	4.0	28.5	0.39	0.34	0.39	44.5
5	T1	174	2.0	174	2.0	0.142	8.2	LOS A	4.0	28.5	0.39	0.34	0.39	40.9
6	R2	423	2.0	423	2.0	0.772	25.8	LOS B	19.2	137.0	0.72	0.82	0.76	29.5
Appr	oach	601	2.0	601	2.0	0.772	20.6	LOS B	19.2	137.0	0.63	0.68	0.65	32.2
North	h: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	116	0.0	116	0.0	0.733	71.1	LOS F	7.7	53.7	1.00	0.85	1.14	18.1
8	T1	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.148	57.8	LOS E	1.7	12.1	0.94	0.71	0.94	20.1
9	R2	22	0.0	22	0.0	0.148	61.6	LOS E	1.7	12.1	0.94	0.71	0.94	3.6
Appr	oach	145	0.0	145	0.0	0.733	69.0	LOS E	7.7	53.7	0.99	0.82	1.10	16.8
West	t: Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	121	1.7	100	2.1	0.081	8.0	LOS A	1.7	12.0	0.27	0.57	0.27	19.1
11	T1	336	1.9	278	2.3	0.248	8.6	LOS A	6.6	47.0	0.41	0.37	0.41	41.1
12	R2	6	0.0	5	0.0	0.248	12.6	LOS A	6.6	47.0	0.42	0.37	0.42	40.1
Appr	oach	463	1.8	383 <sup>N</sup>	2.2	0.248	8.5	LOSA	6.6	47.0	0.37	0.42	0.37	39.3
All V	ehicles	1264	1.7	<mark>1184</mark> N	<sup>1</sup> 1.8	0.772	24.8	LOS B	19.2	137.0	0.61	0.62	0.63	29.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	ement Performance - Ped	lestrians						
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate
P1	South Full Crossing	53	8.9	LOS A	0.1	0.1	0.37	0.37
P2	East Full Crossing	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96

P3 North Full Crossing	53	10.8	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.41	0.41
P4 West Full Crossing	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
All Pedestrians	211	34.6	LOS D			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026

Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Site: 7 [7. Union Rd and Link Rd]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Development AM Peak1

Union Rd and Link Rd East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ince -	Vehicl	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	Union I	Rd (E)												
5	T1	206	0.0	206	0.0	0.119	0.3	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.08	0.03	0.08	43.4
6	R2	13	0.0	13	0.0	0.119	6.6	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.08	0.03	0.08	43.4
Appro	ach	219	0.0	219	0.0	0.119	0.7	NA	0.1	0.9	0.08	0.03	0.08	43.4
North	: Link F	Rd (N)												
7	L2	58	0.0	57	0.0	0.362	11.3	LOS A	1.6	11.0	0.67	1.07	0.86	13.7
9	R2	134	0.0	133	0.0	0.362	13.9	LOS A	1.6	11.0	0.67	1.07	0.86	13.7
Appro	ach	192	0.0	<mark>190</mark> N	0.0	0.362	13.1	LOSA	1.6	11.0	0.67	1.07	0.86	13.7
West:	Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	20	0.0	19	0.0	0.300	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.02	0.00	48.6
11	T1	702	0.0	564	0.0	0.300	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.02	0.00	48.6
Appro	ach	722	0.0	<mark>583</mark> N	0.0	0.300	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.02	0.00	48.6
All Ve	hicles	1133	0.0	992 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.362	2.7	NA	1.6	11.0	0.15	0.22	0.18	31.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

V Site: 8 [8. Union Ln and Link Rd]

Union Ln and Link Rd East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ince -	Vehicl	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
Courth	ا بامادا	veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
2	i. Lilik i T1	Road (S) 65	0.0	65	0.0	0.033	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
Appro		65	0.0	65	0.0	0.033	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
East:	Union	Ln (E)												
4	L2	124	0.0	123	0.0	0.156	3.9	LOS A	0.6	4.4	0.14	0.50	0.14	25.2
6	R2	124	0.0	123	0.0	0.156	4.6	LOS A	0.6	4.4	0.14	0.50	0.14	25.2
Appro	oach	248	0.0	<mark>246</mark> <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.156	4.2	LOS A	0.6	4.4	0.14	0.50	0.14	25.2
All Ve	hicles	314	0.0	<mark>311</mark> N	0.0	0.156	3.3	NA	0.6	4.4	0.11	0.40	0.11	26.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Driveway 1
East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)
One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout
Site Category: (None)
Stop (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Link F	Rd (S)												
1	L2	33	0.0	31	0.0	0.017	7.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.79	0.00	20.9
2	T1	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.017	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.79	0.00	19.8
Appro	ach	34	0.0	33 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.017	7.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.79	0.00	20.9
North	: Link F	Rd (N)												
8	T1	124	0.0	123	0.0	0.064	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	49.1
9	R2	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.064	4.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	14.0
Appro	ach	125	0.0	124 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.064	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	46.4
West:	Drivew	ay 1												
10	L2	65	0.0	65	0.0	0.110	2.3	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.01	1.00	0.01	9.0
12	R2	65	0.0	65	0.0	0.110	2.9	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.01	1.00	0.01	9.0
Appro	ach	131	0.0	131	0.0	0.110	2.6	LOSA	0.4	2.9	0.01	1.00	0.01	9.0
All Ve	hicles	289	0.0	287 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.110	2.0	NA	0.4	2.9	0.00	0.55	0.00	13.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Driveway 2
East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)
One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout
Site Category: (None)
Stop (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	Union I	Rd (E)												
5	T1	269	0.0	268	0.0	0.141	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.01	0.02	48.2
6	R2	3	0.0	3	0.0	0.141	8.8	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.01	0.02	17.6
Appro	ach	273	0.0	<mark>271</mark> N	0.0	0.141	0.2	NA	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.01	0.02	46.5
North	: Drive	way 2												
7	L2	5	0.0	5	0.0	0.040	5.4	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.62	0.97	0.62	7.6
9	R2	14	0.0	14	0.0	0.040	8.8	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.62	0.97	0.62	7.6
Appro	ach	19	0.0	19	0.0	0.040	7.8	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.62	0.97	0.62	7.6
West:	Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.300	7.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	26.8
11	T1	717	0.0	578	0.0	0.300	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	48.5
Appro	ach	724	0.0	<mark>585</mark> <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.300	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.01	0.00	47.7
All Ve	hicles	1016	0.0	875 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.300	0.3	NA	0.1	0.9	0.02	0.03	0.02	42.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Urban Apartments
East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)
One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout
Site Category: (None)
Stop (Two-Way)

Move	ement	Performa	nce -	Vehicle	es									
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delav	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A	ver. No.A Cycles S	
ID							Delay	Service		Distance	Queueu	Rate	Cycles c	
Fast:	Union	veh/h Lane (E)	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
5	T1	191	0.0	188	0.0	0.100	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	0.00	47.6
6	R2	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.100	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	0.00	47.0
Appro	oach	198	0.0	195 <sup>N1</sup>	0.0	0.100	0.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	0.00	47.4
North	: Urbar	n Apartment	t Acces	SS										
9	R2	57	0.0	57	0.0	0.052	2.9	LOS A	0.2	1.2	0.26	0.89	0.26	9.9
Appro	oach	57	0.0	57	0.0	0.052	2.9	LOSA	0.2	1.2	0.26	0.89	0.26	9.9
All Ve	hicles	255	0.0	252 <sup>N1</sup>	0.0	0.100	8.0	NA	0.2	1.2	0.06	0.23	0.06	13.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:38:56 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development AM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - AM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

Site: 1 [1. High St and Mulgoa Rd]

High Street and Mulgoa Road East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)

One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	ement	: Performa	ance -	Vehic	les									
Mov	Turn	Demand				Deg.	Average	Level of		of Queue	Prop.	Effective A		
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	speed
		veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Mulg	oa Road (S	5)											
1	L2	246	2.0	246	2.0	0.384	27.9	LOS B	9.2	65.6	0.80	0.78	0.80	35.0
2	T1	1014	2.0	1014	2.0	0.777	57.5	LOS E	22.4	159.7	1.00	0.90	1.06	24.9
3	R2	91	1.6	91	1.6	0.363	65.9	LOS E	5.7	40.6	0.95	0.77	0.95	8.4
Appı	oach	1351	2.0	1351	2.0	0.777	52.7	LOS D	22.4	159.7	0.96	0.87	1.00	25.4
East	: High S	Street (E)												
4	L2	172	1.6	170	1.6	0.240	36.5	LOS C	7.8	55.0	0.72	0.75	0.72	11.1
5	T1	597	2.0	591	2.0	0.789	59.4	LOS E	21.5	153.0	0.99	0.91	1.08	23.8
6	R2	384	2.0	380	2.0	0.808	75.5	LOS F	13.6	96.9	1.00	0.91	1.17	20.3
Аррі	oach	1153	1.9	<mark>1142</mark> N	1.9	0.808	61.4	LOS E	21.5	153.0	0.95	0.88	1.05	21.5
Nort	h: Castl	ereagh Roa	ad (N)											
7	L2	208	1.6	208	1.6	0.158	7.9	LOS A	2.4	17.1	0.26	0.63	0.26	48.0
8	T1	1405	2.0	1405	2.0	0.667	40.5	LOS C	26.8	190.5	0.91	0.80	0.91	26.4
9	R2	667	2.0	667	2.0	0.797	38.6	LOS C	14.3	101.7	1.00	0.89	1.08	36.9
Appı	oach	2281	2.0	2281	2.0	0.797	37.0	LOS C	26.8	190.5	0.87	0.81	0.90	31.4
Wes	t: High :	Street (W)												
10	L2	654	2.0	654	2.0	0.373	18.9	LOS B	8.8	62.4	0.68	0.75	0.68	45.4
11	T1	277	1.9	277	1.9	0.343	52.4	LOS D	8.1	57.5	0.90	0.75	0.90	22.8
12	R2	199	1.6	199	1.6	0.421	67.4	LOS E	6.4	45.4	0.97	0.78	0.97	19.2
Аррі	oach	1129	1.9	1129	1.9	0.421	35.6	LOS C	8.8	62.4	0.78	0.76	0.78	34.5
All V	ehicles	5914	1.9	5903 <sup>N</sup>	2.0	0.808	45.0	LOS D	26.8	190.5	0.89	0.83	0.93	28.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians												
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate					
P11	South Stage 1	53	52.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.87	0.87					
P12	South Stage 2	53	59.6	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.92	0.92					

P1S	South Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	10.9	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.55	0.55
P2	East Full Crossing	53	41.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.77	0.77
P2S	East Slip/Bypass Lane	53	16.6	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.49	0.49
	Crossing							
P31	North Stage 1	53	55.0	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.89	0.89
P32	North Stage 2	53	27.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.87	0.87
P3S	North Slip/Bypass Lane	53	0.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.11	0.11
	Crossing							
P4	West Full Crossing	53	57.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.91	0.91
P4S	West Slip/Bypass Lane	53	20.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
	Crossing							
All Pe	destrians	526	34.2	LOS D			0.71	0.71

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

V Site: 2 [2. Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Development PM Peak1

Mulgoa Rd and Union Rd East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles  Mov Turn Demand Flows Arrival Flows Deg. Average Level of 95% Back of Queue Prop. Effective Aver. No.Average													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	ı: Mulgo	oa Road (S	5)											
2	T1	1342	2.0	1342	2.0	0.394	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
3	R2	181	1.8	181	1.8	0.915	70.1	LOS E	7.4	52.8	0.98	1.55	3.19	18.4
Appro	ach	1523	1.9	1523	1.9	0.915	8.4	NA	7.4	52.8	0.12	0.18	0.38	47.1
East:	Union I	Road (E)												
4	L2	249	1.8	247	1.8	0.309	7.5	LOS A	1.6	11.3	0.58	0.76	0.62	46.3
Appro	ach	249	1.8	<mark>247</mark> N	<sup>1</sup> 1.8	0.309	7.5	LOSA	1.6	11.3	0.58	0.76	0.62	46.3
North	: Mulgo	a Road (N	)											
7	L2	192	1.2	191	1.2	0.104	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	38.5
8	T1	1597	2.0	1596	2.0	0.276	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	ach	1788	1.9	1787 <sup>N</sup>	1.9	0.276	0.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	59.0
All Ve	hicles	3561	1.9	3557 <sup>N</sup>	1.9	0.915	4.4	NA	7.4	52.8	0.09	0.16	0.21	52.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akcelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to Tf\NSW RFIs\\2026

Development PM Peak\\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

₩ Site: 3 [3. High St and Civic Roundabout]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Development PM Peak1

High and Civic Roundabout East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Mov	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Link l	Rd (S)												
1	L2	52	0.0	50	0.0	0.204	5.7	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.67	0.74	0.67	23.1
2	T1	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.204	5.6	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.67	0.74	0.67	44.7
3	R2	52	0.0	50	0.0	0.204	10.7	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.67	0.74	0.67	23.1
Appr	oach	105	0.0	<mark>101</mark> N	0.0	0.204	8.2	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.67	0.74	0.67	24.4
East	: High S	St (E)												
5	T1	1000	1.9	991	1.9	0.472	2.5	LOS A	2.3	16.3	0.21	0.30	0.21	40.4
6	R2	29	0.0	29	0.0	0.472	7.8	LOS A	2.3	16.3	0.22	0.30	0.22	50.4
Appr	oach	1029	1.9	1020 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	0.472	2.6	LOSA	2.3	16.3	0.21	0.30	0.21	41.1
North	n: Civic	PI (N)												
7	L2	53	2.0	53	2.0	0.171	3.9	LOS A	0.7	4.9	0.46	0.65	0.46	42.0
9	R2	100	2.0	100	2.0	0.171	9.1	LOS A	0.7	4.9	0.46	0.65	0.46	42.0
Appr	oach	153	2.0	153	2.0	0.171	7.3	LOSA	0.7	4.9	0.46	0.65	0.46	42.0
West	t: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	74	2.0	74	2.0	0.253	2.8	LOS A	1.3	9.0	0.18	0.28	0.18	47.5
11	T1	463	2.0	463	2.0	0.253	2.3	LOS A	1.3	9.0	0.18	0.28	0.18	39.1
Appr	oach	537	2.0	537	2.0	0.253	2.4	LOSA	1.3	9.0	0.18	0.28	0.18	42.0
All Ve	ehicles	1824	1.8	1811 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.8	0.472	3.3	LOSA	2.3	16.3	0.25	0.35	0.25	40.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

Site: 4 [4. High St and Worth St]

High and Worth

East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)

One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	Movement Performance - Vehicles  Mov Turn Demand Flows Arrival Flows Deg. Average Level of 95% Back of Queue Prop. Effective Aver. No. Average													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Ver. No.A Cycles S	J
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Wortl	h St (S)												
1	L2	215	2.0	205	2.0	0.837	65.9	LOS E	13.0	92.2	1.00	0.94	1.24	3.8
2	T1	114	2.0	109	2.0	0.505	50.2	LOS D	7.7	54.6	0.96	0.78	0.96	22.5
3	R2	34	0.0	32	0.0	0.505	54.4	LOS D	7.7	54.6	0.96	0.78	0.96	22.4
Appr	oach	362	1.8	346 <sup>N</sup>	1.8	0.837	59.9	LOS E	13.0	92.2	0.98	0.88	1.13	12.6
East	: High S	St (E)												
4	L2	81	1.9	81	1.9	0.455	26.5	LOS B	12.7	90.0	0.71	0.66	0.71	30.8
5	T1	668	1.9	668	1.9	0.455	21.9	LOS B	16.1	114.6	0.71	0.64	0.71	31.3
6	R2	211	2.0	211	2.0	0.419	28.8	LOS C	8.4	59.8	0.72	0.77	0.72	35.6
Appr	oach	960	1.9	960	1.9	0.455	23.8	LOS B	16.1	114.6	0.71	0.67	0.71	32.6
Nortl	h: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.497	33.6	LOS C	8.8	62.6	0.79	0.66	0.79	35.9
8	T1	194	1.9	194	1.9	0.497	29.1	LOS C	8.8	62.6	0.79	0.66	0.79	28.2
9	R2	309	2.0	309	2.0	0.710	34.9	LOS C	13.1	93.4	0.97	0.84	0.99	25.9
Appr	oach	504	2.0	504	2.0	0.710	32.6	LOS C	13.1	93.4	0.90	0.77	0.91	26.8
Wes	t: High S	St (W)												
10	L2	203	2.0	203	2.0	0.404	35.7	LOS C	8.9	63.7	0.80	0.78	0.80	29.0
11	T1	279	1.8	278	1.8	0.150	18.2	LOS B	4.5	31.6	0.59	0.49	0.59	36.4
12	R2	286	1.6	286	1.6	1.139	211.4	LOS F	36.8	261.1	1.00	1.53	2.38	2.7
Appr	oach	768	1.8	<mark>767</mark> N	<sup>1</sup> 1.8	1.139	94.8	LOS F	36.8	261.1	0.80	0.95	1.31	13.4
All V	ehicles	2595	1.9	2577 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	1.139	51.5	LOS D	36.8	261.1	0.81	0.80	0.99	20.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians												
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate					
P1	South Full Crossing	53	21.1	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.59	0.59					
P2	East Full Crossing	53	29.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.70	0.70					

P3 North Full Crossis P3S North Slip/Bypass Crossing P4 West Full Crossis	s Lane 53	22.3 17.1 54.3	LOS C LOS B	0.1 0.1 0.2	0.1 0.1 0.2	0.61 0.53 0.95	0.61 0.53 0.95
P4S West Slip/Bypass Crossing		40.1	LOS E	0.1	0.1	0.82	0.82
All Pedestrians	316	30.7	LOS D			0.70	0.70

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to Tf\NSW RFIs\\2026

Development PM Peak\\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



🥯 Site: 5 [5. Worth St and Union Ln]

**♦** Network: N101 [Network Model - 2026 Development PM Peak1

Worth St and Union Ln East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles Mov Turn Demand Flows Arrival Flows Deg. Average Level of 95% Back of Queue Prop. Effective Aver. No.Average													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	l Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Worth	n St (S)												
1	L2	75	1.5	71	1.5	0.039	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	27.5
2	T1	321	2.0	305	2.0	0.159	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
Appro	oach	396	1.9	376 <sup>N</sup>	1.9	0.159	0.7	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.10	0.00	42.9
East:	Union I	Ln (E)												
4	L2	18	0.0	18	0.0	0.111	4.7	LOS A	0.3	2.2	0.52	0.63	0.52	34.2
5	T1	26	0.0	26	0.0	0.111	9.9	LOS A	0.3	2.2	0.52	0.63	0.52	34.2
6	R2	25	0.0	25	0.0	0.120	11.3	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.63	0.81	0.63	32.1
Appro	oach	69	0.0	69	0.0	0.120	9.1	LOSA	0.3	2.2	0.56	0.70	0.56	33.4
North	: Worth	St (N)												
8	T1	437	1.7	415	1.8	0.160	0.4	LOS A	13.8	97.9	0.07	0.08	0.07	41.9
9	R2	116	1.5	112	1.5	0.160	6.1	LOS A	0.8	5.6	0.29	0.31	0.29	28.6
Appro	oach	553	1.7	<mark>527</mark> <sup>N</sup>	1.7	0.160	1.6	NA	13.8	97.9	0.12	0.13	0.12	38.1
All Ve	hicles	1018	1.7	973 <sup>N</sup>	1.7	0.160	1.8	NA	13.8	97.9	0.11	0.16	0.11	37.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026 Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

Site: 6 [6. Worth St and Union Rd]

Worth St and Union Rd East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)

One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Mov	<b>Novement Performance - Vehicles</b> Nov Turn Demand Flows Arrival Flows Deg. Average Level of 95% Back of Queue Prop. Effective Aver. No.Average													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV		Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service		of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	9
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
Sout	h: Wort	` '												
1	L2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.329	68.2	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	18.1
2	T1	28	0.0	28	0.0	0.329	63.6	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	18.1
3	R2	4	0.0	4	0.0	0.329	68.2	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	26.1
Appr	oach	48	0.0	48	0.0	0.329	65.5	LOS E	3.0	21.2	0.98	0.74	0.98	19.0
East	: Union	Rd (E)												
4	L2	33	0.0	33	0.0	0.188	22.3	LOS B	6.0	42.5	0.57	0.52	0.57	39.6
5	T1	147	2.0	147	2.0	0.188	17.8	LOS B	6.0	42.5	0.57	0.52	0.57	33.3
6	R2	359	1.9	359	1.9	1.080	168.1	LOS F	44.4	315.6	1.00	1.35	1.99	8.9
Appr	oach	539	1.8	539	1.8	1.080	118.1	LOS F	44.4	315.6	0.86	1.07	1.52	12.3
Nortl	h: Worth	n St (N)												
7	L2	421	2.0	406	2.0	0.925	75.2	LOS F	11.5	81.6	1.00	1.02	1.31	17.4
8	T1	32	0.0	30	0.0	0.173	39.7	LOS C	4.1	28.8	0.81	0.71	0.81	24.5
9	R2	59	0.0	54	0.0	0.173	43.6	LOS D	4.1	28.8	0.81	0.71	0.81	5.0
Appr	oach	512	1.6	<mark>490</mark> <sup>N</sup>	1.7	0.925	69.5	LOS E	11.5	81.6	0.97	0.96	1.23	17.2
Wes	t: Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	163	1.9	163	1.9	0.156	13.4	LOSA	4.3	30.7	0.42	0.63	0.42	13.3
11	T1	339	2.0	338	2.0	0.479	19.3	LOS B	11.5	81.6	0.63	0.56	0.63	33.8
12	R2	12	0.0	12	0.0	0.479	23.6	LOS B	11.5	81.6	0.64	0.56	0.64	32.9
Appr	oach	514	1.9	<mark>513</mark> N	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	0.479	17.5	LOS B	11.5	81.6	0.56	0.58	0.56	31.3
All V	ehicles	1613	1.7	1590 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.8	1.080	69.1	LOS E	44.4	315.6	0.80	0.87	1.10	16.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

Move	ement Performance - Ped	estrians					Movement Performance - Pedestrians												
Mov ID	Description	Demand Flow ped/h	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	Average Back Pedestrian ped	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate											
P1	South Full Crossing	53	17.8	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.52	0.52											
P2	East Full Crossing	53	41.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.80	0.80											

P3 North Full Crossing	53	20.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.56	0.56
P4 West Full Crossing	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
All Pedestrians	211	34.8	LOS D			0.71	0.71

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM

Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026

Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Union Rd and Link Rd
East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)
One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout
Site Category: (None)
Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles														
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	Union I	Rd (E)												
5	T1	183	1.8	182	1.8	0.122	0.4	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.13	0.09	0.13	39.3
6	R2	38	0.0	35	0.0	0.122	5.3	LOS A	0.3	1.8	0.13	0.09	0.13	39.3
Appro	oach	221	1.5	216 <sup>N</sup>	1.5	0.122	1.2	NA	0.3	1.8	0.13	0.09	0.13	39.3
North	: Link F	Rd (N)												
7	L2	33	0.0	32	0.0	0.181	8.0	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.46	0.95	0.46	17.7
9	R2	75	1.6	72	1.6	0.181	9.5	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.46	0.95	0.46	17.7
Appro	oach	107	1.1	104 <sup>N</sup>	1.1	0.181	9.0	LOSA	0.5	3.5	0.46	0.95	0.46	17.7
West	: Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.192	3.9	LOS A	0.8	5.9	0.00	0.12	0.00	42.0
11	T1	288	1.9	288	1.9	0.192	0.0	LOS A	0.8	5.9	0.00	0.12	0.00	42.0
Appro	oach	367	1.5	367	1.5	0.192	0.8	NA	0.8	5.9	0.00	0.12	0.00	42.0
All Ve	hicles	696	1.5	687 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>11</sup> 1.5	0.192	2.2	NA	0.8	5.9	0.11	0.23	0.11	34.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8

V Site: 8 [8. Union Ln and Link Rd]

Union Ln and Link Rd East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1) One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles														
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance		Effective A	ver. No.A Cycles S		
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		Rate		· km/h	
South	n: Link l	Road (S)													
2	T1	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.008	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0	
Appro	oach	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.008	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0	
East:	Union	Ln (E)													
4	L2	91	1.9	87	1.9	0.109	3.9	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.06	0.53	0.06	25.9	
6	R2	91	1.9	87	1.9	0.109	4.3	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.06	0.53	0.06	25.9	
Appro	oach	181	1.9	174 <sup>N</sup>	<sup>1</sup> 1.9	0.109	4.1	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.06	0.53	0.06	25.9	
All Ve	hicles	197	1.7	<mark>190</mark> N	1 1.8	0.109	3.7	NA	0.4	2.9	0.05	0.48	0.05	26.4	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to Tf\NSW RFIs\2026
Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Driveway 1
East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)
One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout
Site Category: (None)
Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles														
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles		Prop. Queued	Effective A Stop Rate	ver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	ո։ Link l	Rd (S)												
1	L2	128	0.0	125	0.0	0.068	7.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.79	0.00	20.7
2	T1	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.068	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.79	0.00	19.5
Appro	oach	129	0.0	126 <sup>N</sup>	0.0	0.068	7.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.79	0.00	20.7
North	ı: Link F	Rd (N)												
8	T1	84	2.0	80	2.0	0.042	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	48.5
9	R2	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.042	4.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	14.0
Appro	oach	85	2.0	<mark>81</mark> N	2.0	0.042	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	44.8
West	: Drivev	vay 1												
10	L2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.027	2.3	LOS A	0.1	0.7	0.00	1.00	0.00	9.0
12	R2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.027	2.8	LOS A	0.1	0.7	0.00	1.00	0.00	9.0
Appro	oach	32	0.0	32	0.0	0.027	2.5	LOSA	0.1	0.7	0.00	1.00	0.00	9.0
All Ve	ehicles	246	0.7	239 <sup>N</sup>	0.7	0.068	4.3	NA	0.1	0.7	0.00	0.55	0.00	19.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0  $\,$  | Copyright  $\,$  © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd  $\,$  | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Driveway 2
East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)
One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout
Site Category: (None)
Stop (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Arrival Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance		Effective A Stop Rate	Aver. No.A Cycles S	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
East:	Union	Rd (E)												
5	T1	226	2.0	224	2.0	0.120	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.02	0.02	47.7
6	R2	5	0.0	5	0.0	0.120	7.0	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.02	0.02	17.6
Appro	oach	232	2.0	<mark>229</mark> N	2.0	0.120	0.2	NA	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.02	0.02	44.7
North	: Drive	way 2												
7	L2	3	0.0	3	0.0	0.014	3.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.42	0.87	0.42	8.5
9	R2	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.014	4.9	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.42	0.87	0.42	8.5
Appro	oach	11	0.0	11	0.0	0.014	4.5	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.42	0.87	0.42	8.5
West	Union	Rd (W)												
10	L2	14	0.0	14	0.0	0.150	7.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	25.9
11	T1	275	2.0	275	2.0	0.150	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	44.5
Appro	ach	288	1.9	288	1.9	0.150	0.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	42.0
All Ve	hicles	531	1.9	<mark>528</mark> N	<sup>11</sup> 1.9	0.150	0.4	NA	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.06	0.02	39.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0  $\,$  | Copyright  $\,$  © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd  $\,$  | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Model\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



Urban Apartments
East DA Scheme (FSR 6:1)
One-Way Link Northbound to High/ Civic Roundabout
Site Category: (None)
Stop (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles														
Mov	Turn	Demand I				Deg.				of Queue		Effective A			
ID		Total	HV	Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles S	Speed	
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h	
East:	Union	Lane (E)													
5	T1	167	2.0	161	2.0	0.110	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.22	0.00	37.8	
6	R2	51	0.0	50	0.0	0.110	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.22	0.00	45.7	
Appro	oach	218	1.5	210 <sup>N1</sup>	1.5	0.110	1.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.22	0.00	43.4	
North	: Urbar	n Apartment	Acces	ss											
9	R2	14	0.0	14	0.0	0.013	3.0	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.87	0.26	9.9	
Appro	oach	14	0.0	14	0.0	0.013	3.0	LOSA	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.87	0.26	9.9	
All Ve	hicles	232	1.4	<mark>224</mark> N1	1.5	0.110	1.5	NA	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.26	0.02	28.3	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

N1 Arrival Flow value is reduced due to capacity constraint at oversaturated upstream lanes.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: PARKING AND TRAFFIC CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 24 June 2020 9:43:46 AM
Project: Z:\PCI - PROJECT WORK FILES\NSW\TOGA - PENRITH\SIDRA Mode\\S4.55 East Scheme - Response to TfNSW RFIs\2026
Development PM Peak\200623 - East DA Scheme - 2026 Roundabout, Development, Link - PM Peak One-Way Link (FSR 6-1 Volumes).sip8



24 August 2020

Our Reference: SYD20/00453/03 Council Reference: DA20/0148

Planning Portal Reference: CNR-6306

Kathryn Saunders Penrith City Council PO Box 60 PENRITH NSW 2751

Dear Ms Saunders,

# MODELLING RESPONSE - CONSTRUCT MIXED USE COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL COMPLEX - 87-93 UNION ROAD, PENRITH

Reference is made to Council's referral dated 13 July 2020 with regard to the abovementioned Development Application, which was referred to Transport for NSW (TfNSW) in accordance with Clause 104 and Schedule 3 of *State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure)* 2007.

TfNSW has reviewed the documentation including the Electronic SIDRA files and *Parking and Traffic Consultants Pty Ltd (PTC)* response dated 29 June 2020 and provides detailed comments to Council in **Attachment A – TfNSW Modelling Response**.

Based on the comments provided in Attachment A, TfNSW raises concerns with Council that it is unclear at this stage what the proposed development impacts to the surrounding local and state road network will be. The response provided by the proponent regarding TfNSW modelling concerns requires further refinement in order to understand the implications of the additional traffic expected to be generated by this development.

Notwithstanding the above and noting the scale of this development, as the consent authority for this development, Council is to determine if the development risks raised by TfNSW are satisfactorily addressed by the applicant. Should Council determine that additional information is required to address the modelling concerns, TfNSW will be happy to review any additional information provided.

If you have any further questions, Ms Laura van Putten would be pleased to take your call on (02) 8849 2480 or please email development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au. I hope this has been of assistance.

Yours sincerely

Pahee Rathan

Senior Land Use Assessment Coordinator

#### Attachment A - TfNSW Modelling Response

#### Traffic input data:

 For developing the existing base case models, the data was mainly extracted from two old models. Those models had the assumption that in 2020, some future changes including Jane Street and Mulgoa Road infrastructure upgrade already happened; In other words the 2020 traffic in that model was estimated traffic volumes for an upgraded network with additional lanes, while these upgrades do not exist in the current road network.

Considering that the response provided to comments 1 and 2 shows that the models were not directly based on consistent traffic survey data at specific survey date(s), and given that over three years have been passed since the base model was developed, the traffic condition of the study area may have been changed.

The recommendation for existing traffic volumes is using a nearest available historical turning movement counts reflecting pre-COVID 19 typical traffic conditions. In the absence of that data in 2019, older available traffic survey records from 2017 or 2018 can be used and scaled up based on SCATS historical traffic volumes.

- 2. Traffic input data for future models the adopted traffic growth needs to be presented and discussed in the report, which is expected to be different for local and major roads. In addition, it is recommended that the number of pedestrians in future and the potential changes in the share of heavy vehicles be discussed.
- 3. Considering the models and the responses to comment 1, 2 and 5 to 7, some other concerns about the adopted approach are as follows:
  - a. The proportion of heavy vehicles were kept as 2 percent for all roads/streets in both existing and future conditions, while at least for Mulgoa Roads percentage of heavy vehicles should be different;
  - b. In the absence of existing surveys, all pedestrian volumes were coded as software default, and with the same values for future cases;
  - c. When the traffic data comes from different sources and different dates, they also needed to be adjusted to reflect the seasonality of traffic as well as achieving a reasonable mid-block balance of trips for each peak; and
  - d. The 2020 traffic volumes in the previous model for Mulgoa road and High street were based on estimated traffic for an upgraded road network.

It is therefore recommended that the models be supported by appropriate traffic survey data, be calibrated for existing base case condition, and then the future models be updated accordingly.

#### Distribution of trips generated by the development:

- 4. The response to comment 8 shows that a pre-development diagram was prepared; however, to provide a clear presentation of how the future traffic demand is developed for AM and PM peaks, it is suggested that the traffic volumes in these diagrams be according to:
  - a. existing base case;
  - b. background growth;
  - c. the subject development application; and
  - d. other development applications required by Council.

It is recommended that traffic diagrams showing the distribution of additional vehicles generated by the development be included in the report.

Providing the above separation of turning movement will enable the reader to understand how many vehicles are added to the critical movements, and give a better understanding of the responses provided to comments 8 to 12.

#### **Model Development:**

5. The majority of the comments related to the road network coding and geometry have been addressed.

The TCS layouts however may not show the current operation of the site, and adopted signal phasing and timing should be supported by SCATS data or survey videos/ site observations. As an example, right turn from High Street to Worth Street during peak hours is expected to happen during F phase and without conflict with straight opposing movements. For pedestrian protection also, phase A and E at this intersection (TCS 2622) have a late start of 5 Seconds, which should be included in the model.

6. It is noted that in the updated models we received 5 scenarios out of 6, and the 2026 future base plus development scenario for AM peak was missed and not reviewed. It is therefore assumed that the changes made in this scenario are similar to the 2026 AM scenario without development.